Doc. 52

1	1232, 1235 (9th Cir. 2014). Under RCW 2.60.020, certification of a question to the Washington
2	Supreme Court is appropriate where "it is necessary to ascertain the local law of this state in
3	order to dispose" of a proceeding in federal court and "the local law has not been clearly
4	determined." Further, under Washington's Rules of Appellate Procedure 16.16,
5 6	The Supreme Court may entertain a petition to determine a question of law certified to it under the Federal Court Local Law Certificate Procedures Act if the question of state law is one which has not been clearly determined and does not involve a question determined by reference to the United States Constitution.
7	Petitioner's motion to certify his procedural questions to the Washington State Supreme
9	Court, Dkt. 49, is DENIED. Petitioner has failed to show that "it is necessary to ascertain the
10	local law" in order to rule on his federal habeas proceeding, or that "local law has not been
11	clearly determined." This Court is able to address the merits of petitioner's habeas petition and
12	does not require the assistance of the Washington Supreme Court. As a result, certification is no
13	appropriate.
14	The Clerk of the Court is directed to arrange for electronic service of this Order upon the
15	Attorney General of the State of Washington and petitioner.
16	DATED this 2nd day of March, 2017.
17	James P. Donolaire
18	JAMES P. DONOHUE Chief United States Magistrate Judge
19	omer omica states wagistate saage
20	
21	
22	
22	

ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS TO THE WASHINGTON STATE SUPREME COURT - 2