

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

GEORGE JOHNSON,

CASE NO. C16-1738JLR

Plaintiff,

**ORDER STRIKING AMENDED
ANSWER**

DONALD P. WANG,

Defendant.

On August 20, 2017, *pro se* Defendant Donald P. Wang filed an amended answer which he asserts a counterclaim for fraud against Plaintiff George Johnson. (Am. filer (Dkt. # 29).) However, at the time Mr. Wang filed the amended answer, the time for amendment as a matter of course had passed, Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1), and Mr. Wang did not have “the opposing party’s written consent or the court’s leave” to file the amended answer, Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2); (*see* Dkt.). For these reasons, the court concludes the amended answer (Dkt. # 29) as improperly filed. If Mr. Wang wishes to

ORDER - 1

1 amend his answer, he must do so in the manner set forth in Federal Rule of Civil
2 Procedure 15(a)(2). *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2).

3 Dated this 22nd day of August, 2017.

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James L. Robart". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large, stylized 'J' at the beginning.

6 JAMES L. ROBART
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22