

ORDER - 1

a failure to "appear at [the] pretrial conference . . . an abandonment or failure to prosecute
or defend diligently, and judgment may be entered against that party"). Because of his
failure to appear, the court ORDERS Mr. Wang to SHOW CAUSE why the court should
not enter default against him and issue sanctions of \$2,000.00.

Regarding the entry of default, Mr. Wang must respond no later than Wednesday, July 25, 2018, at 12:00 p.m. If he does not respond or sufficiently demonstrate good cause for his failure to appear, the court will enter default against him. *See Ringgold Corp. v. Worrall*, 880 F.2d 1138, 1141-42 (9th Cir. 1989); *see also* Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 11(c).

10 Regarding sanctions, Mr. Wang must respond no later than Thursday, August 2, 11 2018, at 12:00 p.m. A federal court's inherent authority allows the court to "fashion an appropriate sanction for conduct [that] abuses the judicial process." Goodyear Tire & 12 13 Rubber Co. v. Haeger, --- U.S. ---, 137 S. Ct. 1178, 1186 (2017); see also Fink v. Gomez, 239 F.3d 989, 991 (9th Cir. 2001) ("Three primary sources of authority enable courts to 14 15 sanction parties or their lawyers for improper conduct: (1) Federal Rule of Civil 16 Procedure 11, which applies to signed writings filed with the court, (2) 28 U.S.C. § 1927, 17 which is aimed at penalizing conduct that unreasonably and vexatiously multiplies the 18 proceedings, and (3) the court's inherent power."). Because he failed to appear despite 19 // 20 // 21 // 22

5

6

7

8

9

1	repeated notifications, Mr. Wang must show cause why the court should not issue	
2	sanctions in the amount of \$2,000.00.	
3	Dated this 23rd day of July, 2018.	
4		
5		(Jun R. Rlut
6		JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		