

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 LINDA VOPNFORD,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 WELLCARE HEALTH PLANS, et
al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C16-1835JLR

ORDER

15 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a
16 summons and a copy of the plaintiff's complaint and sets forth the specific requirements
17 for doing so. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which
18 service must be effectuated, states in relevant part:

19 If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the
20 court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss
21 the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be
22 made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the
failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

1 *Id.* Here, Plaintiff has failed to serve Defendants Tom Potts, Frank Webster, Lydia
2 Ophaug, Ray McComb, Kaleista Lagarde, and Does 1-150 with a summons and a copy of
3 Plaintiff's complaint within the timeframe provided in Rule 4(m).

4 Accordingly, the court ORDERS Plaintiff to SHOW CAUSE within ten (10) days
5 of the date of this order why the Defendants listed above should not be dismissed for
6 failure to comply with Rule 4(m). If Plaintiff does not demonstrate good cause for the
7 failure, the court will dismiss Defendants Tom Potts, Frank Webster, Lydia Ophaug, Ray
8 McComb, Kaleista Lagarde, and Does 1-150 without prejudice.

9 Dated this 24th day of March, 2017.



10
11 JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge