

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

SUSAN CHEN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NATALIE D'AMICO, et al.,

Defendants.

NO. 16-CV-01877-JLR

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANT CITY OF REDMOND'S  
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER  
AMENDED COMPLAINT



THIS MATTER, having come on regularly for hearing upon Defendant City of Redmond's Motion to Extend Time to Answer Amended Complaint, and the Court, having considered the pleadings, records, and files herein, including:

- 1. Defendant City of Redmond's Motion to Extend Time to Answer Amended Complaint;
- 2. Defendant City of Redmond's Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint;
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_;
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_;
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_;
- 6. \_\_\_\_\_.


and the Court, being otherwise fully advised in the premises; Now, Therefore,

{APR1619697.DOCX;1/00020.050349/ }  
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT CITY OF REDMOND'S  
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1  
16-CV-01877-JLR

OGDEN MURPHY WALLACE, P.L.L.C.  
901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3500  
Seattle, Washington 98164-2008  
Tel: 206.447.7000/Fax: 206.447.0215

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant City of  
2 Redmond's Motion to Motion to Extend Time to Answer Amended Complaint is GRANTED.  
3 Defendant City of Redmond's Answer to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint is not due until fourteen  
4 days after the Court issues a ruling on Defendant City of Redmond's Motion to Strike Plaintiffs'  
5 Amended Complaint.

6 DONE IN OPEN COURT this 5th day of September, 2017.

7  
8   
9 The Honorable James L. Robart

10 Presented by:  
11 OGDEN MURPHY WALLACE, P.L.L.C.

12  
13 By s/Daniel Shickich  
14 Aaron P. Riensche, WSBA #37202  
15 Daniel Shickich, WSBA #46479  
16 Attorneys for Defendant  
17 City of Redmond  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26