1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 OREGON MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, 9 Plaintiff, 10 C16-1911 TSZ v. 11 **ORDER** NANCIE BRECHER; and COOK'S 12 WORLD LLC. 13 Defendants. 14 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on plaintiff Oregon Mutual Insurance 15 Company's motion for summary judgment, docket no. 13, as to which defendants have 16 filed no response. Having reviewed the papers filed in support of the motion, the Court 17 enters the following order. 18 **Discussion** 19 In King County Superior Court, Margaret A. Palmquest, M.D. has sued defendant 20 Cook's World LLC, a cooking school and kitchen products retailer owned by defendant 21 Nancie Brecher, for injuries suffered when a deep fry thermometer purchased from 22 23

ORDER - 1

Cook's World exploded during use on February 1, 2014. See Ex. 1 to Stapley Decl. (docket no. 14-1). Plaintiff agreed to defend Cook's World under a reservation of rights, but seeks in this litigation a declaratory judgment that it owes no duty to defend or 3 indemnify Cook's World with respect to the underlying lawsuit. Plaintiff issued a 5 "Businessowners Protector Policy" (the "Policy") to Nancie Brecher doing business as Cook's World for the period from August 11, 2013, to August 11, 2014. See Ex. 4 to Stapley Decl. (docket no. 14-1). The Policy was cancelled at Brecher's request effective November 1, 2013, apparently because the business had closed. <u>See</u> Ex. 5 to Stapley Decl. (docket no. 14-1 at 123). A policy release statement signed by Brecher indicates 10 that "[n]o claims of any type will be made against the Insurance Company, its agents or 11 its representatives, under this policy for losses which occur after the date of cancellation 12 shown above." *Id.* The Court is satisfied that the "bodily injury" for which Cook's 13 World has been sued occurred outside the policy period and is therefore not covered. See 14 Policy at § II.A.1.b, Ex. 4 to Stapley Decl. (docket no. 14-1 at 90) (indicating that the 15 insurance at issue applies only if "[t]he 'bodily injury' . . . occurs during the policy 16 period"). Plaintiff has established the requisite absence of any genuine dispute of 17 material fact, <u>see</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a), and plaintiff is entitled to judgment as a matter of 18 law that it owes no duty to defend or indemnify Cook's World with respect to the claims

Conclusion

asserted by Palmquest.

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff Oregon Mutual Insurance Company's unopposed motion for summary judgment, docket no. 13, is GRANTED. The Clerk is

23

19

20

21

22

1	DIRECTED to enter declaratory judgment in favor of plaintiff and against defen	ıdantı
2	consistent with this Order and to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of reco	rd.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	Dated this 5th day of May, 2017.	
5		
6	1 homas 5 Fell	7
7	Thomas S. Zilly United States District Judge	-
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
$_{22}$		

23