1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	MARK F SPANGLER,	CASE NO. C16-1923RSM
10	Petitioner,	ORDER STRIKING PETITIONER'S
11	V.	MOTION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
12		
13	Respondent.	
13		on Petitioner Mark Spangler's Motion for
	This matter comes before the Court of	on Petitioner Mark Spangler's Motion for ars Mr. Spangler filed this Motion with the
14		ars Mr. Spangler filed this Motion with the
14 15	This matter comes before the Court of Certificate of Appealability. Dkt. #20. It appe	ars Mr. Spangler filed this Motion with the urt without comment. The Ninth Circuit did
14 15 16	This matter comes before the Court of Certificate of Appealability. Dkt. #20. It appe Ninth Circuit, which then forwarded it to this Co not file Mr. Spangler's Motion on its docket or dir	ars Mr. Spangler filed this Motion with the urt without comment. The Ninth Circuit did
14 15 16 17	This matter comes before the Court of Certificate of Appealability. Dkt. #20. It appe Ninth Circuit, which then forwarded it to this Co not file Mr. Spangler's Motion on its docket or dir	ars Mr. Spangler filed this Motion with the urt without comment. The Ninth Circuit did ect this Court to take any action. urt. Mr. Spangler did not file this Motion here
14 15 16 17 18	This matter comes before the Court of Certificate of Appealability. Dkt. #20. It appe Ninth Circuit, which then forwarded it to this Co not file Mr. Spangler's Motion on its docket or dir This Motion is not properly before the Cou and is not seeking relief from this Court. Accordin	ars Mr. Spangler filed this Motion with the urt without comment. The Ninth Circuit did ect this Court to take any action. urt. Mr. Spangler did not file this Motion here
14 15 16 17 18 19	This matter comes before the Court of Certificate of Appealability. Dkt. #20. It appe Ninth Circuit, which then forwarded it to this Co not file Mr. Spangler's Motion on its docket or dir This Motion is not properly before the Cou and is not seeking relief from this Court. Accordin	ars Mr. Spangler filed this Motion with the urt without comment. The Ninth Circuit did ect this Court to take any action. urt. Mr. Spangler did not file this Motion here ngly, this Motion will be stricken. otion, or if Mr. Spangler were to simply refile
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	This matter comes before the Court of Certificate of Appealability. Dkt. #20. It appe Ninth Circuit, which then forwarded it to this Co not file Mr. Spangler's Motion on its docket or dir This Motion is not properly before the Cou and is not seeking relief from this Court. Accordin Even if the Court were to consider this Mo	ars Mr. Spangler filed this Motion with the urt without comment. The Ninth Circuit did ect this Court to take any action. urt. Mr. Spangler did not file this Motion here ngly, this Motion will be stricken. otion, or if Mr. Spangler were to simply refile Court denied Mr. Spangler a Certificate of
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	This matter comes before the Court of Certificate of Appealability. Dkt. #20. It appe Ninth Circuit, which then forwarded it to this Co not file Mr. Spangler's Motion on its docket or dir This Motion is not properly before the Cou and is not seeking relief from this Court. Accordin Even if the Court were to consider this Mo it in this Court, the Court would deny it. The	ars Mr. Spangler filed this Motion with the urt without comment. The Ninth Circuit did ect this Court to take any action. urt. Mr. Spangler did not file this Motion here ngly, this Motion will be stricken. otion, or if Mr. Spangler were to simply refile Court denied Mr. Spangler a Certificate of

Circuit denied a COA on January 31, 2018. Dkt. #13. There has been no further action in that
 appeal.

Over a year later Mr. Spangler filed a Rule 60(b) Motion in this Court. The Motion was
denied as untimely. In an abundance of caution, the Court addressed the merits of the filing,
determining that relief was not warranted. The Court will not deviate from its prior findings
and the findings of the Ninth Circuit by issuing a COA now.

Having considered Petitioner's Motion and the remainder of the record, the Court
hereby finds and ORDERS that Petitioner Spangler's Motion for Certificate of Appealability,
Dkt. #20, is STRICKEN as procedurally improper. The Clerk of the Court is directed to
forward a copy of this Order to Petitioner and all counsel of record.

DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of August, 2019.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE