

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 MARK F. SPANGLER,  
10 Petitioner,

Case No. C16-1923RSM

11 v.

MINUTE ORDER

12  
13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
14 Respondent.

15  
16 The following MINUTE ORDER is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable  
17 Ricardo S. Martinez, Chief United States District Judge:

18  
19 On January 24, 2017, the United States moved this Court for a two-month  
20 continuance to file an answer to Mr. Spangler's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Petition. Dkt. #5.  
21 Petitioner Mark F. Spangler filed his Section 2255 motion on December 16, 2016. Dkt. #1.  
22 On January 13, 2017, the Court directed the United States to file an answer to Petitioner's  
23 motion within forty-five (45) days after the filing of Mr. Spangler's motion. Dkt. #4 at 1.  
24

25  
26 In support of its motion, the United States explains that additional time is needed to  
27 address the broad allegations raised by Mr. Spangler. Dkt. #5 at 1-2. The United States also  
28 explains that additional time is needed to respond to Mr. Spangler given its counsel's heavy  
29 caseload. *Id.* at 2. The Court does not require a response to the United States' Motion for  
30

Extension of Time from Mr. Spangler.

MINUTE ORDER- 1

