1 | 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 BUSINESS LIC DARENE BARNES, CASE NO. 2:17-cv-00083-RSM 10 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS v. 11 US EQUAL EMPLOYMENT, 12 Defendant. 13 14 This matter came before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. The Court has 15 reviewed the Motion, all evidence submitted in support of the motion, and defendant's reply, as well 16 as the documents on file, and is otherwise fully informed. Plaintiff has failed to file a Response or 17 otherwise communicate with the Court in this matter. The failure to file a response "may be 18 considered by the court as an admission that the motion has merit." Local Civil Rule 7(b)(2). The 19 Court finds that Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and that 20 dismissal with prejudice is warranted given Plaintiff's lack of response to the instant Motion and for 21 the other reasons stated by Defendant in its Motion. See Dkt. #4 at 4-5. 22 23 24

Therefore, it is hereby ordered that the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED and all 1 || of plaintiff's claims against Defendant are dismissed with prejudice. DATED this 22 day of March 2017. RICARDO S. MARTINEZ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE