1		HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	TH SEATT	
10	ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, et al.,	
11	Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. C17-94 RAJ
12	v.	ORDER
13		
14	DONALD TRUMP, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' Motions for Leave to Submit	
17	Documents Ex Parte, In Camera. Dkt. ## 227, 267. Plaintiffs oppose Defendants'	
18	Motions, and Defendants have filed Replies. Dkt. ## 239, 254, 270, 271. For the reasons	
19	stated below, the Court GRANTS Defendants' Motions.	
20	Defendants filed their first Motion in support of their Opposition to Plaintiff's first	
21	Motion to Compel (Dkt. # 221) and Cross-Motion for a protective order (Dkt. # 226). In	
22	their first Motion to Compel, Plaintiffs seek additional productions of "why" information,	
23	including A Files. Dkt. # 221. Defendants seek to file declarations to file ex parte and in	
24	camera declarations from Jay S. Tabb, Jr., Executive Assistant Director of the National	
25	Security Branch of the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), Matthew D. Emrich,	

Associate Director of the Fraud Detection and National Security ("FDNS") Directorate,

26

27

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services ("USCIS"), Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), and Matthew C. Allen, Assistant Director, Domestic Operations, 3 Homeland Security Investigations ("HSI"), an agency in DHS. Dkt. # 227. Defendants contend that these declarations contain both "classified" and "sensitive nonpublic 5 explanations of the harms and risks that can be expected to result if information 6 Defendants have withheld from production were disclosed outside the U.S. government." *Id.* at 2. Defendants have also filed public versions of the Tabb and Allen Declarations. 8 Dkt. # 226-2, Exs. D, F. 9 Defendants filed the second Motion in support of their Opposition to Plaintiff's 10 Motion to Compel Documents Withheld Under the Law Enforcement/Deliberative 11 Process Privilege. Dkt. ## 260, 266. In this Motion to Compel, Plaintiffs seek 12 production of unredacted copies of 25 documents that Defendants are withholding on the 13 basis of, inter alia, the law enforcement privilege. Dkt. # 260. In support of their 14 Opposition, Defendants seek to submit a Declaration of Timothy P. Groh, Deputy 15 Director for Operations of the Terrorist Screening Center, who attests to the potential 16 harm that may occur to that agency should certain information contained in these 17 documents be disclosed. Defendants have filed a public version of Mr. Groh's 18 declaration (Dkt. # 266-1, Ex. D), and seek leave to file a more expansive version under 19 seal to permit the Court to properly evaluate Defendants' privilege claims on this basis. 20 Dkt. # 267. Defendants submit that Mr. Groh's declaration contains law enforcement 21 sensitive information regarding the TSDB and FBI's watchlisting processes, and it is thus 22 necessary for the Court to evaluate the privilege claims ex parte in order to protect that 23 information. *Id*. 24 The Court has attempted to endeavor to create as complete a public record as 25 possible. The Court is also concerned with allowing Defendants to establish a general 26 practice of filing supporting material for motions ex parte and in camera, and 27 understands Plaintiffs' frustration with the potential unfairness of the process. However,

in these limited instances, the Court is satisfied that it must review the Declarations at issue because they will inform what relief, if any, should be afforded Plaintiffs in connections with their discovery motions. While the Court agrees with Plaintiffs, again, that these privilege claims could have been raised sooner, the Court has already issued appropriate sanctions for Defendants' discovery-related behavior. Dkt. # 223. Given the articulated potential harm of public disclosure to agency internal processes, and the disclosure of several public versions of the Declarations, the Court concludes that it is appropriate to review the subject declarations *in camera* in this instance. For these reasons, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants' Motions to file the Declarations of Mr. Groh, Mr. Tabb, Mr. Emrich, and Mr. Allen *ex parte* and *in camera*. Dkt. ## 227, 267.

Dated this 3rd day of June, 2019.

The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge