

The Honorable Lauren King

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, *et al.*, on behalf of
himself and other similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, President of the United
States, *et al.*,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:17-cv-00094-LK

**STIPULATION FOR ORDER
STAYING ACTION UNTIL JUNE 9,
2022; ORDER THEREON**

WHEREAS this action, filed on January 23, 2017, alleges that Defendants have been unlawfully subjecting applications for lawful permanent residence and naturalization submitted to United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) by members of the Plaintiff-classes to review under the Controlled Application Review and Resolution Program (CARRP), which is an agency-wide program and process USCIS applies to cases it believes have national security concerns; and

WHEREAS the Amended Complaint in this action seeks, among other things, to enjoin Defendants from applying CARRP to applications for lawful permanent residence or naturalization submitted by members of the Plaintiff classes; and

STIPULATION FOR ORDER STAYING ACTION UNTIL JUNE 9, 2022;
ORDER THREON - 1
(Case No. C17-00094LK)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
CIVIL DIVISION, OFFICE OF IMMIGRATION LITIGATION
Ben Franklin Station, P.O. Box 878
Washington, D.C. 20044
(202) 616-4900

1 WHEREAS the parties have filed cross-motions for summary judgment on Plaintiffs' claims;
2 and

3 WHEREAS those motions, among others, have been pending before the Court since
4 July 2021; and

5 WHEREAS on or about November 10, 2021, USCIS commenced an agency-wide internal
6 review of its policies and procedures relative to identifying and assessing national security risks
7 presented in immigration benefit applications, including applications for lawful permanent residence
8 and naturalization; and

9 WHEREAS the internal USCIS review is expected to be completed on or about May 10,
10 2022, unless extended by the Director of USCIS; and

11 WHEREAS it is anticipated that, as a result of this review, new or revised relevant policies
12 will be issued by USCIS leadership; and

13 WHEREAS Defendants anticipate that these new and revised USCIS policies are likely to
14 have a material impact on some or all of the legal issues presently before the Court for adjudication;
15 and

16 WHEREAS the parties mutually agree that it will be in the best interest of the Plaintiff
17 classes and Defendants, and serve the interests of conserving judicial resources, to stay the case until
18 the internal USCIS review is completed in May 2022;

19 NOW THEREFORE, the parties, through their respective counsel of record do hereby
20 stipulate and agree that the Court may make and enter the following order:

21 1. This action, and all proceedings therein, shall be stayed until June 9, 2022.
22 2. Commencing on February 1, 2022, and continuing throughout the time that the stay
23 remains in place, Defendants will suspend the issuance of denials of class members' relevant
24 Form I-485 or N-400 applications, except in the following circumstances:

25 *i.* USCIS will not hold the denial of any relevant application if USCIS will issue a
26 "Notice to Appear" (NTA) to the applicant following denial of the case.

1 ii. USCIS will not hold the denial of any relevant application if that application is at
2 issue in ongoing litigation (other than the *Wagafe* litigation).

3 3. In consideration of the statistical anomaly that will be created by the suspension of
4 denials as described in the preceding paragraph, Plaintiffs agree not to use the increase in statistical
5 delays resulting from this suspension against Defendants in arguments going forward in this lawsuit.

6 4. Within fourteen days after the expiration of the stay, Defendants will provide
7 Plaintiffs' counsel with a record reflecting the overall number of class member applications in which
8 the denial of the application was suspended because of this stipulation. Defendants will provide this
9 list as an "attorney's eyes only" restricted record subject to the restrictions set forth in this Court's
10 orders pertaining to such information, Dkt. # 183 and # 192.

11 5. Within 90 days of the date of the commencement of the stay, Defendants shall file a
12 status report with the Court which sets forth the progress that Defendants have made toward
13 completion of their review process and the prospects as they see them for completing the review
14 process by May 10, 2022.

15 6. By no later than March 1, 2022, Plaintiffs shall send a settlement demand to
16 Defendants' counsel. Defendants will consider Plaintiffs' settlement demand in connection with
17 their policy review. Defendants shall respond to Plaintiffs' settlement demand by no later than
18 June 10, 2022.

19 7. Defendants will timely supplement their discovery responses following the expiration
20 of the stay, as appropriate, in accordance with Rule 26(e), Fed.R.Civ.Pro.

21 8. By June 23, 2022, counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendants shall meet to
22 (1) discuss the parties' settlement offers; (2) identify the issues, if any, that the parties believe remain
23 in dispute; and (3) discuss whether an attempt should be made to resolve any such remaining
24 disputed issues either through a continuation of informal settlement negotiations or by entering into
25 mediation pursuant to LCR 39.1 of the Local Rules of this Court according to a procedure that they
26 have mutually agreed upon.

1 9. By July 8, 2022, the parties will submit a joint status report identifying any issues
2 remaining in dispute and proposing a joint case schedule to resolve any such issue or issues. If the
3 parties cannot agree on a proposed case schedule for the resolution of any remaining issues in
4 dispute, they may separately set forth their own proposed case schedules for consideration by the
5 Court.

6 10. ~~As an exception to the stay, and upon the Court's approval of a pending joint-~~
7 ~~stipulation allowing for the public filing of redacted versions of summary judgment briefs that the-~~
8 ~~parties previously submitted as Highly Sensitive Documents (HSDs), the parties shall be permitted~~
9 ~~to move forward and file redacted versions of those briefs.~~

10 11. ~~An additional exception to the stay shall be the parties' compliance with the Court's~~
11 ~~order dated January 31, 2022 (Dkt. # 587), and the Court's resolution of the underlying sealing and~~
12 ~~highly sensitive document motions.~~

13 ///

14 ///

15 ///

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1 **SO STIPULATED.**

2 Dated: February 11, 2022

3 BRIAN M. BOYNTON
4 Acting Assistant Attorney General
5 Civil Division
6 U.S. Department of Justice

W. MANNING EVANS
Senior Trial Counsel
Office of Immigration Litigation

6 AUGUST FLENTJE
7 Special Counsel
8 Civil Division

LEON B. TARANTO
Trial Attorney
Torts Branch

8 ETHAN B. KANTER
9 Chief, National Security Unit
10 Office of Immigration Litigation
11 Civil Division

LINDSAY M. MURPHY
Senior Counsel for National Security
Office of Immigration Litigation

11 NICHOLAS W. BROWN
12 United States Attorney

BRENDAN T. MOORE
Trial Attorney
Office of Immigration Litigation

13 BRIAN C. KIPNIS
14 Assistant United States Attorney
15 Western District of Washington

/s/ Victoria M. Braga
VICTORIA M. BRAGA
Trial Attorney
Office of Immigration Litigation

15 ANNE DONOHUE
16 Counsel for National Security
17 Office of Immigration Litigation

JESSE L. BUSEN
Counsel for National Security
Office of Immigration Litigation

18 *Counsel for Defendants*

1 **SO STIPULATED.**

DATED: February 11, 2022

2 s/ Jennifer Pasquarella
Jennifer Pasquarella (admitted pro hac vice)
3 **ACLU Foundation of Southern California**
1313 W. 8th Street
4 Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: (213) 977-5236
5 jpasquarella@aclusocal.org

6 s/ Matt Adams
Matt Adams #28287
7 **Northwest Immigrant Rights Project**
615 Second Ave., Ste. 400
8 Seattle, WA 98122
Telephone: (206) 957-8611
9 matt@nwirp.org

10 s/ Stacy Tolchin
Stacy Tolchin (admitted pro hac vice)
11 **Law Offices of Stacy Tolchin**
634 S. Spring St. Suite 500A
12 Los Angeles, CA 90014
Telephone: (213) 622-7450
13 Stacy@tolchinimmigration.com

14 s/ Dror Ladin
s/ Sarah Taitz
15 s/ Lee Gelernt
s/ Hina Shamsi
16 Dror Ladin (admitted pro hac vice)
Sarah Taitz (admitted pro hac vice)
17 Lee Gelernt (admitted pro hac vice)
Hina Shamsi (admitted pro hac vice)
18 **American Civil Liberties Union Foundation**
125 Broad Street
19 New York, NY 10004
Telephone: (212) 549-2616
20 lgelernt@aclu.org
dladin@aclu.org
21 staitz@aclu.org
hshamsi@aclu.org

22 s/ Sameer Ahmed
23 Sameer Ahmed (admitted pro hac vice)
Harvard Immigration and Refugee
24 **Clinical Program**
Harvard Law School
25 6 Everett Street; Suite 3105
Cambridge, MA 02138
26 Telephone: (617) 495-0638
sahmed@law.harvard.edu

s/ Harry H. Schneider, Jr.
s/ Nicholas P. Gellert
s/ David A. Perez
s/ Heath L. Hyatt
s/ Paige L. Whidbee
Harry H. Schneider, Jr. #9404
Nicholas P. Gellert #18041
David A. Perez #43959
Heath L. Hyatt #54141
Paige L. Whidbee #55072
Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Telephone: 206.359.8000
HSchneider@perkinscoie.com
NGellert@perkinscoie.com
DPerez@perkinscoie.com
HHyatt@perkinscoie.com
PWhidbee@perkinscoie.com

s/ Kristin Macleod-Ball
Kristin Macleod-Ball (admitted pro hac vice)
American Immigration Council
1318 Beacon Street, Suite 18
Brookline, MA 02446
Telephone: (857) 305-3600
kmacleod-ball@immcouncil.org


s/ John Midgley
s/ Yvonne Chin
John Midgley #6511
Yvonne Chin, #50389
ACLU of Washington
P.O. Box 2728
Seattle, WA 98111
Telephone: (206) 624-2184
jmidgley@aclu-wa.org
ychin@aclu-wa.org

Counsel for Plaintiffs

1 **ORDER**

2 In light of the parties' stipulated stay and the terms set forth above, the Court STRIKES the
3 March 29, 2022 joint statement deadline. The Court will set a new joint statement deadline, if
4 necessary, once the parties submit their updated Joint Status Report on or before July 8, 2022.
5 Whether and to what extent the parties may file redacted summary judgment briefs turns on
6 the Court's resolution of their "joint statement concisely consolidating their positions on any
7 materials for sealing." Dkt. No. 587 at 6. The Court accordingly GRANTS the parties'
8 stipulation as modified and DENIES as moot the parties' Stipulation for Extension of Time to
9 Respond to Court Order. IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 DATED this 22 day of February, 2022

11 

12 _____
13 LAUREN KING
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1
2 I hereby certify that on February 11, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk
3 of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of
4 record.

5
6 /s/ Victoria M. Braga
7 VICTORIA M. BRAGA
8 Trial Attorney
9 Office of Immigration Litigation
10 Civil Division
11 U.S. Department of Justice
12 P.O. Box 878, Ben Franklin Station
13 Washington, D.C. 20044
14 Tel.: (202) 616-5573
15 Victoria.M.Braga@usdoj.gov