

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 JUWEIYA ABDIAZIZ ALI, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 DONALD TRUMP, et al.,

14 Defendants.


CASE NO. C17-0135JLR

ORDER REGARDING THE  
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A  
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

15 Before the court is Plaintiffs' motion seeking to synchronize the briefing schedule  
16 on their motion for a preliminary injunction (PI Mot. (Dkt. # 9)) with the briefing  
17 schedule on a motion for a preliminary injunction in *Washington v. Trump*, No.  
18 C17-0141JLR (W.D. Wash.). (Mot. (Dkt. # 31).) On February 13, 2017, the court  
19 entered an order in *Washington v. Trump*, indicating that because the Ninth Circuit Court  
20 of Appeals had construed the court's TRO as a preliminary injunction, the district court  
21 would not entertain any further briefing on a motion for preliminary injunction in that  
22 case. *See Washington v. Trump*, No. C17-0141JLR (W.D. Wash.), Dkt. ## 77 (Min.

1 Entry), 78 (2/14/17 Order). Accordingly, the court DENIES Plaintiffs' motion (Dkt.  
2 # 31) and ORDERS the parties to proceed with briefing Plaintiffs' motion for a  
3 preliminary injunction in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this  
4 court's Local Civil Rules. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 65; Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(d)(3)  
5 ("Motions . . . seeking a preliminary injunction . . . shall be noted for consideration on a  
6 date no earlier than the fourth Friday after filing and service of the motion.").

7 Dated this 14th day of February, 2017.

8  
9 

10 JAMES L. ROBART  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22