

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

9
10 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-0141JLR

ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION


15 Before the court is Petitioner James J. O'Hagan's "Motion to Reconsider Allowing
16 Him to Join Lawsuit as a Real Party in Interest." (Mot. (Dkt. # 110).) Mr. O'Hagan
17 moves the court to reconsider its order denying him leave to intervene. (2/14/17 Order
18 (Dkt. # 83).) Having considered Mr. O'Hagan's motion, the relevant portions of the
19 record, and the applicable law, the court DENIES Mr. O'Hagan's motion.

20 Pursuant to the Western District's Local Civil Rules, "[m]otions for
21 reconsideration are disfavored," and the court "will ordinarily deny such motions in the
22 absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or

1 | legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable
2 | diligence.” Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(1).

3 | Mr. O’Hagan makes no showing of manifest error in the prior ruling, new facts, or
4 | new legal authority. (*See generally* Mot.); *cf.* Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h).
5 | Accordingly, the court concludes that Mr. O’Hagan’s motion for reconsideration lacks
6 | merit. The court therefore DENIES the motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 110).

7 | Dated this th10 day of March, 2017.

8 | 
9 | JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge

10 |
11 |
12 |
13 |
14 |
15 |
16 |
17 |
18 |
19 |
20 |
21 |
22 |