

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-0141JLR

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO  
RECONSIDER ORDER  
DENYING

15 Before the court is a filing by *pro se* movant Silver Cloud Musafir in response to  
16 the court's order striking his amicus curiae brief. (Resp. (Dkt. # 181).) The court struck  
17 Mr. Musafir's amicus curiae brief (Dkt # 85) because Mr. Musafir filed it in violation of  
18 the court's previous order regarding the filing of such briefs. (Order Striking A.C. Brief  
19 (Dkt. # 90) (citing Order Re: AC Brief Filing (Dkt. # 84)).) The court, however, stated  
20 that Mr. Musafir "may re-file his brief if he does so in conformity with the court's order."  
21 (*Id.*) Instead of re-filing his brief in conformity with the court's order, Mr. Musafir filed  
22 the present "response" to the court's order striking his amicus curiae brief. (*See* Resp.)

1 The court liberally construes Mr. Musafir’s “response” as a motion to reconsider the  
2 court’s ruling.<sup>1</sup>

3 Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(h)(1), motions for reconsideration are disfavored,  
4 and the court will ordinarily deny them unless there is a showing of (a) manifest error in  
5 the prior ruling, or (b) facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to the  
6 court’s attention earlier with reasonable diligence. Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR  
7 7(h)(1). Mr. Musafir has made neither showing. (*See generally* Resp.) Accordingly, the  
8 court DENIES his motion (Dkt. # 181).

9 Dated this 13th day of April, 2017.

10  
11 

12 JAMES L. ROBERT  
13 United States District Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 

---

<sup>1</sup> “Courts in this circuit have an obligation to give a liberal construction to the filings of pro se litigants.” *Blaisdell v. Frappiea*, 729 F.3d 1237, 1241 (9th Cir. 2013).