

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN WASHINGTON

FILED
LOGED
RECEIVED

MAIL

APR 24 2017

WASHINGTON STATE; AND THE
STATE OF MINNESOTA,

PLAINTIFF,

-VS-

Donald John Trump, Sr.,
PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA AND COMMANDER-
IN-CHIEF OF EXECUTIVE BRANCH
OF GOVERNMENT,

DEFENDANT.

USDC DOCKET NUMBER:
(UNAVAILABLE) 17-CV-000141-JLR

HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBERTS
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
PRESIDING.

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
DEPUTY

RECEIVED

FEB 16 2017

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SPOKANE, WA

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR AMICUS CURIAE

Now Comes Movant, LAMAR CHRISTOPHER CHAPMAN, III, AHO, ISBC, Ph.ED.,
EMPIRICAL, LAWREATE, JURISTICIAN™, "PREDIC. LITIGATOR", AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT
OF THE COURT, PUBLIC INTEREST AND THE HONORABLE DONALD JOHN TRUMP, SR., IN
PROPRIA PERSONA VERY RESPECTFULLY MOVES THIS HONORABLE COURT FOR LEAVE TO
ASSIST THE COURT IN REACHING A PROPER DECISION IN THIS CASE OF PUBLIC
INTEREST BY STATING AS FOLLOWS:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

THE NINTH CIRCUIT MAINTAINS A "STRONG JUDICIAL POLICY" FAVORING HEARING
REASONABLE INSIGHT FROM DISINTERESTED LITIGANTS SEEKING TO SERVE THE PUBLIC
INTEREST. SERVING AS SUPPLEMENTARY ASSISTANCE TO THE COURT IS AN HONOR. AND

AMICUS CURIAE IS A PROFESSIONAL PERSON OR ORGANIZATION THAT IS NOT A PARTY TO A PARTICULAR LITIGATION BUT THAT IS PERMITTED BY THE COURT TO ADVISE IT IN RESPECT TO SOME MATTER OF LAW THAT DIRECTLY AFFECTS THE CASE IN QUESTION.

AMICUS CURIAE PETITIONER HAS MORE THAN 37 UNINTERRUPTED YEARS OF EMPIRICAL LITIGATION IN THE HIGHLY SPECIALIZED PRACTICE OF FRONTIER LAW. HIS BRIEF AND APPEARANCE VERY RESPECTFULLY SHOULD BE ALLOWED AND THOUGHTFULLY CONSIDERED BECAUSE OF THE INVALUABLE EXPERIENCE AND THE CONSTITUTIONAL SUBSTANCE IT ADDS TO THE JUDICIAL PROCESS.

QUALIFICATION OF AMICUS CURIAE

PETITIONER HAS SERVED AS AMICUS CURIAE FOR THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL COUNCIL FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT UNDER CHIEF JUDGE HONORABLE ALEX KOZINSKI, PRESIDING; COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT NUMBER 12-90039 OR IN RE: JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT, 12-90039; OR IN RE: RICHARD F. CERULL, 12-90039. SEE ATTACHED CORRESPONDENCE FROM HONORABLE, MADAM CATHY A. CATTERSON, CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE AND RELATED ORDER OF CHIEF JUDGE, HONORABLE ALEX KOZINSKI, MADE A PART HEREOF AS EXHIBIT, A. ALSO, AMICUS PETITIONER HAD THE ESTEEM OF APPEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE SAMUEL KING, CHIEF JUDGE EMERITUS, FOR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF HONOLULU, HAWAII WHO FOUND PETITIONER TO HAVE "AN AMAZING LEGAL ABILITY" AND ADMONISHED PETITIONER TO "SHARE HIS STORY WITH THE LONG-STANDING NEWS NETWORK SIXTY MINUTES." JUDGE KING WAS A VISITING JURIST SITTING IN CHICAGO OVER THE SUMMER IN 2008, WHILE ON VACATION-VISIT WITH HIS DAUGHTER (CHIEF COUNSEL MAJOR HEALTH-CARE PROVIDER) AND SON-IN-LAW (LAW PARTNER, MAJOR LAW FIRM) AND THEIR CHILDREN.

IT SHOULD BE EASY FOR ANYONE TO SEE THAT THE IMPRESSION MADE DURING THE SCHOLARLY INTERCOURSE WITH CHIEF JUDGE EMERITUS SAMUEL KING WAS MUTUAL AND OVERLY AWE FOR THE PETITIONER. OTHER JUDICIAL EXCHANGES HAVE BEEN EQUALLY INSIGHTFUL. CHIEF JUDGE HONORABLE, MR. MARVIN ASPEN, PUBLISHED IN A WRITTEN OPINION THAT "MR. CHAPMAN HAS CUT A WIDE SWATH THROUGH THE FEDERAL COURTS," (USDC, ND. ILL, 2002). CHIEF JUDGE, HONORABLE, MR. PAUL PLUNKETT, ALSO PUBLISHED IN A WRITTEN OPINION THAT "MR. CHAPMAN IS GOOD AND DOES NOT NEED A LAWYER" (USDC, ND. ILL, 1997). HONORABLE JOHN F. GRADY, SENIOR DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WRITES IN A PUBLISHED OPINION THAT "MR. CHAPMAN IS A PREDIC LITIGATOR IN THIS JUDICIAL DISTRICT", (USDC, ND. ILL, 2001). A MERIT PANEL FOR THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT CONSIDER'S AMICUS PETITIONER TO BE A "SOPHISTICATED LEGAL WRITER", (USCA, Nov. 2001). HONORABLE MILTON I. SHADUR, SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE FINDS AMICUS PETITIONER AS FOLLOWS: "THIS COURT FINDS MR. CHAPMAN TO BE INGENIOUS!" (USDC, ND-ILL, 1986). SEE ADDITIONAL EXCEPTS FROM THE STATE, FEDERAL AND COUNTY JUDICIARY AT WWW.LAMARCHRISTOPHERCHAPMANIII@LINKEDIN.COM OR FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE: CURRICULUM VITAE IS ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF. PETITIONER IS MORE THAN QUALIFIED TO ASSIST THE COURT BEYOND WHAT THE PARTIES HAVE BEEN ABLE TO PROVIDE. PETITIONER IS "THE BEST SOLE PRACTITIONER TO EVER COME BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT!" - QUOTING HONORABLE JACK B. SCHMIDT, SENIOR FEDERAL JUDGE, USDC, ND. ILL.

MOREOVER, AMICUS LAMAR CHRISTOPHER CHAPMAN, III, HAS AN INTEREST THAT MAY BE AFFECTED BY THE DECISION OF THE COURT BUT DOES NOT ENTITLE THE AMICUS TO INTERVENE. THE ISSUE IS PRESIDENTIAL LITIGATION AND VENUE AND STANDING AS RELATED HEREIN. SEE, CHAPMAN VS. OBAMA (CITED HEREIN).

REASONS WHY A BRIEF OF
AN AMICUS CURIAE IS DESIRABLE

"THE ROLE OF AN AMICUS IS TO ASSIST THE COURT IN CASES OF GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST BY MAKING SUGGESTIONS TO THE COURT, AND BY MAKING SUPPLEMENTARY ASSISTANCE TO EXISTING COUNSEL, AND BY INSURING A COMPLETE AND PLEASANT PRESENTATION OF DIFFICULT ISSUES SO THAT THE COURT MAY REACH A PROPER DECISION." QUOTING HONORABLE THELTON E. HENDERSON, PRESIDING JUDGE, UNITED STATES V. PAC. GAS & ELEC. CO., 2016 U.S. DIST. LEXIS 78111 (JUNE 15, 2016). SEE ALSO, NEWARK BRANCH, N.A.A.C.P. V. TOWN OF HARRISON, N.J., 940 F.2d 792, 808 (3d Cir. 1991), AS CITED AND RELIED ON THEREIN.

COURTS PERMIT BRIEFING FROM AN AMICUS WHEN: (1) A PARTY IS NOT REPRESENTED COMPETENTLY; (2) THE AMICUS HAS AN INTEREST THAT MAY BE AFFECTED BY THE DECISION OF THE COURT BUT DOES NOT ENTITLE THE AMICUS TO INTERVENE; OR (3) THE AMICUS HAS UNIQUE INFORMATION OR A NEW PERSPECTIVE THAT CAN HELP THE COURT BEYOND WHAT THE PARTIES CAN PROVIDE. SEE FOR EXAMPLE, RYAN V. COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMM'N, 125 F.3d 1062, 1064 (7th Cir. 1997). THIS AMICUS PETITIONER SATISFIES ALL THREE ELEMENTS, LIKE NO OTHER.

IT IS BEYOND CLEAR THAT THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE AMICUS PETITIONER IS TOO VALUABLE NOT TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE COURT. AS SET FORTH IN THE PROPOSED AMICUS BRIEF, VENUE IS WRONG AND THE DISTRICT COURT MUST EITHER DISMISS THIS CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE OR TRANSFER IT TO THE PROPER FEDERAL COURT. SEE 28 USC, SECTION 1406(a) NEITHER PARTY HAS BEEN COMPETENTLY REPRESENTED. IF THEY WERE, THIS FATAL ERROR WOULD NOT HAVE GONE UNNOTICED. THERE HAS BEEN MORE THAN 1,343 OPINES THEORIZING ON HYPOTHETICALS INCORRECTLY INFLUENCING THE COURT AND NOT ONE MENTIONS THE VALIDITY OF VENUE.

INCLUDING LEGAL AND ACADEMIC THEORIES FROM OUR MEDIA, MAJOR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES, FORMER PRESIDENTS, SECRETARY OF STATE (FORMER) AND ATTORNEY GENERALS.

WHEREFORE

THE PERSPECTIVE OF AMICUS PETITIONER LAMAR CHAPMAN, III OR CHRISTOPHE' de la' MAR CHAPMAN, II, ATO, ISRC, Ph.ESD IS DIVINELY "UNIQUE" MANDATE OF THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS AS SET FORTH IN FEDERAL STATUTES AS SANCTIONED BY THE WORDS OF GOD! IT MUST BE HEARD AND PROMPTLY ACTED UPON. See, Proposed Brief of AMICUS CURIAE, ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF.

"WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL"... THIS HOLY WRIT AND MANUSCRIPT OF CHARISMATIC PRESENTMENT IS,

VERY RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

* CHRISTOPHE' de la' MAR CHAPMAN, II, ATO, ISRC, Ph.ESD
PETITIONER, AMICUS CURIAE, IN PROPRIA PERSONA
FOR AMERICA AND HONORABLE DONALD J. TRUMP
"EXTREMELY RARE!" (* (FBI SURVEILLANCE PROFILE-1969-2009)

DATED: FEBRUARY 13, 2017

LAMAR CHRISTOPHER CHAPMAN, III
PETITIONER, AMICUS CURIAE
JURISTICIAN™, IN PROPRIA PERSONA
POLITICAL PRISONER - LORETTO, FBI
FEDERAL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
RURAL ROUTE 276 - P.O. Box 1000
LORETTO, PENNSYLVANIA 15940

EXPOSITIONS

" SUCCESS

TO LAUGH OFTEN AND MUCH, TO WIN THE
RESPECT OF INTELLIGENT PEOPLE, TO
KNOW THAT AT LEAST ONE LIFE CAN
BREATHE EASIER, BECAUSE YOU HAVE LIVED;

THIS IS TO HAVE SUCCEEDED. "

- RALPH WALDO EMERSON

AMERICA'S MANDELA®
ENDURING WITHOUT YIELDING! SM

///
///
///
RANKED IN PRINCIPALITIES SM

" YOUR SEAL OF HONOR AND INTEGRITY! " TM



OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

UNITED STATES COURTS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAMES R. BROWNING UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
95 SEVENTH STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 193939
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94119-3939

CATHY A. CATTERSON,
CIRCUIT & COURT OF APPEALS EXECUTIVE
PHONE: (415) 355-8000

January 24, 2014

Lamar C. Chapman, III
1314 Kensington Road
P.O. Box 5232
Oak Brook, IL 60522

Re: Complaint of Judicial Misconduct No. 12-90039

Dear Mr. Chapman:

Chief Judge Kozinski has issued an order in your complaint of judicial misconduct. A copy is enclosed.

A complainant or judge aggrieved by an order of the chief judge may petition the judicial council for review thereof by filing such petition in the office of the clerk of the court of appeals within 35 days of the date of the clerk's letter to the complainant transmitting the chief judge's order. 28 U.S.C. § 352(c); Judicial-Conduct Rule 18(b).

Very truly yours,


Cathy A. Catterson

CAC/tc

FILED

JAN 24 2014

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 12-90027, 12-90028,
12-90029, 12-90030, 12-90031,
12-90033, 12-90034, 12-90035,
12-90039, 12-90042, 12-90043
and 12-90050

ORDER

KOZINSKI, Chief Judge:

In light of the January 17, 2014, Memorandum of Decision by the Judicial Conference of the United States Committee on Judicial Disability and Conduct in the matter In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, C.C.D. 13-01, these complaints are concluded. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(e). The Judicial Conference of the United States Committee on Judicial Disability and Conduct's Memorandum of Decision is attached.

Case 1:02-cv-02327 **UNPUBLISHED ORDER** 2003
Not to be cited per Circuit Rule 53

United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Submitted November 4, 2003
Decided November 12, 2003

Before

- Hon. WILLIAM J. BAUER, *Circuit Judge*
- Hon. JOHN L. COFFEY, *Circuit Judge*
- Hon. MICHAEL S. KANNE, *Circuit Judge*

FILED
NOV 14 2003
MICHAEL W. BOESCH
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 02-3764

LAMAR CHAPMAN, III,
Plaintiff-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States
District Court for the Northern
District of Illinois, Eastern Division

MARK W. STRICKER, et al.,
Defendants-Appellees.

No. 02 C 2227

William J. Hibbler,
Judge.

ORDER

Lamar Chapman III filed suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that several employees of the village of Matteson, Illinois, violated his constitutional rights by thwarting him from distributing a political flyer. Chapman initially received a judgment against two defendants who had not been properly served, but the district court later set it aside and eventually dismissed the complaint in its entirety for failure to state a claim. We vacate the dismissal and remand.

We assume for purposes of our review that the following facts as alleged in Chapman's complaint are true. In April 2001 Mark Stricker was running for reelection to the Matteson Board of Trustees. Chapman was opposed to Stricker's

After an examination of the briefs and the record, we have concluded that oral argument is unnecessary. Thus, the appeal is submitted on the briefs and the record. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

36