

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-0141JLR

ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR RECONSIDERATION

15 Before the court is non-party Rick Satcher's motion. (Mot. (Dkt. # 212).) Mr.  
16 Satcher is appearing *pro se*, and the court liberally construes his motion as a motion for  
17 reconsideration of the court's order denying him both intervention as of right and  
18 permissive intervention in this lawsuit.<sup>1</sup> (*See* Order (Dkt. # 174).) Having considered the  
19 motion, the relevant portions of the record, and the applicable law, the court DENIES Mr.  
20 Satcher's motion for reconsideration.

21  
22 <sup>1</sup> The court liberally construes the pleadings of *pro se* litigants. *See Brazil v. U.S. Dep't of Navy*, 66 F.3d 193, 199 (9th Cir. 1995).

1 Pursuant to the Western District of Washington’s Local Civil Rules, a “motion  
2 [for reconsideration] shall be filed within fourteen days after the order to which it relates  
3 is filed.” Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(2). The court filed its order denying Mr.  
4 Satcher’s motion to intervene on March 30, 2017. (*See* Order.) Accordingly, Mr.  
5 Satcher’s motion for reconsideration is untimely, and the court DENIES it on this ground.  
6 *See* Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(2) (“Failure to comply with this subsection may  
7 be grounds for denial of the motion.”).

8 In addition, “[m]otions for reconsideration are disfavored,” and the court “will  
9 ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior  
10 ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to  
11 its attention earlier with reasonable diligence.” *Id.*, LCR 7(h)(1). Mr. Satcher makes no  
12 such showing. (*See generally* Mot.) The court concludes, therefore, that—in addition to  
13 being untimely—Mr. Satcher’s motion lacks merits. Thus, the court DENIES Mr.  
14 Satcher’s motion for reconsideration on this substantive ground as well (Dkt. # 212).

15 Dated this 26th day of February, 2018.

16  
17 

18 JAMES L. ROBART  
19 United States District Judge  
20  
21  
22