

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

9 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

CASE NO. C17-0141JLR

10 Plaintiffs,

ORDER DENYING JAMES J.  
O'HAGAN'S MOTION TO  
INTERVENE

11 v.

12 DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,

13 Defendants.

14  
15 Before the court is James J. O'Hagan's filings dated February 9 and 13, 2017.  
16 (Mot. (Dkt. # 79); Mem. (Dkt. # 80).) Mr. O'Hagan is proceeding *pro se*, and the court  
17 liberally construes his filings as a motion to intervene in these proceedings and a  
18 supporting memorandum.

19 Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(a), in order to intervene as of right in  
20 this action, Mr. O'Hagan must establish that he has (1) "an unconditional right to  
21 intervene by a federal statute," or (2) "an interest relating to the . . . transaction that is the  
22 subject of the action . . . ." Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a). For permissive intervention, Mr.

1 O'Hagan must show that he has (1) "a conditional right to intervene by a federal statute,"  
2 or (2) "a claim or defense that shares with the main action a common question of law or  
3 fact." Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(b)(1). The burden is on the proposed intervenor to demonstrate  
4 that the conditions for intervention are satisfied. *United States v. Alisal Water Corp.*, 370  
5 F.3d 915, 919 (9th Cir. 2004).

6 Mr. O'Hagan's filings are difficult, at best, to understand. (*See Mot.*; *see Mem.*)  
7 In any event, Mr. O'Hagan fails to demonstrate that the conditions for either intervention  
8 as of right or for permissive intervention are met. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a)-(b)(1)*.  
9 Accordingly, the court DENIES his motion to intervene (Dkt. ## 79, 80).

10 Dated this 14th day of February, 2017.

11  
12 

13 JAMES L. ROBART  
14 United States District Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22