

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

TROY COACHMAN,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
SEATTLE AUTO MANAGEMENT, INC.  
dba MERCEDES BENZ OF SEATTLE and  
AL MONJAZEB,  
  
Defendants.

CASE NO. 17-187RSM  
  
ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION TO FILE OVER-LENGTH  
MOTION

This matter is before the Court on Defendants’ Motion to File Over-Length Motion. Dkt. #100. Under the Court’s Local Civil Rules, Defendants’ anticipated motion for a new trial is limited to 12 pages. LCR 7(e)(4). Defendants seek leave to file a 16-page motion. Dkt. #100 at 1. Defendants believe an over-length motion is justified in this case as there was a seven day jury trial with numerous witnesses and exhibits. *Id.* at 1–2.

Motions for over-length briefs are disfavored under the Local Civil Rules: “Motions seeking approval to file an over-length motion or brief are disfavored but may be filed subject to” several procedural requirements. LCR 7(f). Defendant has complied with the procedural requirements. But Defendants’ general justifications do not support deviating from the Court’s

1 normal practice. The trial in this matter was not overly lengthy or complex and the Court is  
2 confident that Defendants can focus on the relevant facts and arguments in the number of pages  
3 allowed under the Local Rules.  
4

5 Accordingly, having reviewed Defendants' Motion and the remainder of the record, the  
6 Court hereby finds and ORDERS that Defendants' Motion to File Over-Length Motion (Dkt.  
7 #100) is DENIED.

8 Dated this 6 day of November, 2018.  
9  
10

11 

12 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28