

1 alleges that he suffered financial, reputational, and emotional damages as a result of defendants'
2 conduct toward his son.

3 Reverend Perryman does not and cannot allege that defendants discriminated against him,
4 that he was denied a public accommodation, or that he was defamed or framed. Rather,
5 Reverend Perryman seeks to recover for harms he personally suffered that are causally related to
6 defendants' alleged mistreatment of his son. In particular, Reverend Perryman asserts that he had
7 to borrow money to pay Sean's legal bills and medical costs, that the family name has been
8 tarnished, and that he continues to worry about Sean's future now that his son has an arrest on
9 his record. There are certain "limits on the class of persons who may invoke the courts'
10 decisional and remedial powers," however. Warth v. Seldin, 422 U.S. 490, 499 (1975). Even
11 where plaintiff has suffered a legally cognizable injury such as medical costs or emotional
12 damages, the Supreme Court has held that "the plaintiff generally must assert his own legal
13 rights and interests, and cannot rest his claim to relief on the legal right or interests of third
14 parties." Id. Reverend Perryman's claim is essentially that defendants' violations of his son's
15 rights caused damage to the father. The prudential limitations the federal judiciary has imposed
16 on standing do not permit such a claim.

17
18 For all of the foregoing reasons, defendants' motion for partial summary judgment is
19 GRANTED. The claims asserted by Reverend Wayne Perryman and any claim asserted under
20 RCW 9A.76.175 are hereby DISMISSED.

21
22 Dated this 24th day of October, 2017.

23 

24 _____
25 Robert S. Lasnik
26 United States District Judge