

Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE )  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and )  
RASIER, LLC, )

No. 17-cv-00370-RSL

Plaintiffs, )

**STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND  
MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

vs. )

**NOTED ON CALENDAR: March 5, 2019**

THE CITY OF SEATTLE; SEATTLE )  
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND )  
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES; and FRED )  
PODESTA, in his official capacity as Director, )  
Finance and Administrative Services, City of )  
Seattle, )

Defendants. )

STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND MOTIONS  
AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE (17-cv-00370)

**PETER S. HOLMES**  
Seattle City Attorney  
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050  
Seattle, WA 98104  
(206) 684-8200

1           **STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND MOTIONS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

2           Pursuant to Local Rules 7(d)(1) and 10(g), Plaintiffs and Defendants (“Seattle”) submit this  
3 stipulated motion to extend the deadlines set forth in the parties’ January 15, 2019 Joint Status Report.  
4 For the reasons set forth herein, the parties stipulate and agree that the time for Seattle to file its Rule  
5 56(d) motion shall be extended by two weeks, to March 22, 2019, and the opposition and reply  
6 deadlines will likewise be extended by two weeks.

7           1.       This case, on remand from the Ninth Circuit, involves a facial challenge to a Seattle  
8 ordinance authorizing for-hire drivers to engage in collective negotiations over the terms of their  
9 contracts with ride-referral and similar companies (known under the ordinance as “driver  
10 coordinators”). Plaintiffs allege that the ordinance violates and is preempted by federal antitrust law.

11           2.       On October 26, 2018, the parties submitted a Joint Status Report and Discovery plan  
12 agreeing to defer discovery pending the Court’s resolution of a motion for discovery under Rule 56(d)  
13 to be filed by Seattle after Plaintiffs moved for summary judgment, and agreeing to a scheduling for  
14 the filing and briefing of such motions. The parties further agreed to suspend the filing and briefing  
15 of such motions while the Seattle City Council considered an amendment to the challenged ordinance.

16           3.       On January 11, 2019, the Mayor signed into law an amendment to the ordinance.

17           4.       On January 15, 2019, the parties submitted a Joint Status Report providing that  
18 Plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment would be filed by February 15, 2019; Seattle’s Rule 56(d)  
19 motion would be filed by March 8, 2019; Plaintiffs’ opposition to the Rule 56(d) motion would be  
20 filed by March 29, 2019; and Seattle’s reply in support of the Rule 56(d) motion would be filed by  
21 April 12, 2019. The parties’ proposed schedule provided that, if Seattle chose not to file a Rule 56(d)  
22 motion, its opposition to Plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment would be due by March 29, 2019.

23           5.       Plaintiffs filed their motion for summary judgment on February 15, 2019.

24           6.       Under the existing briefing schedule, Seattle’s Rule 56(d) motion is due on March 8,  
25 2019.

26           7.       Seattle requires additional time to prepare its Rule 56(d) motion. The additional time  
27 is necessary because Seattle’s counsel has a number of other commitments during the time frame in

1 which its motion must be researched and drafted. These commitments were not apparent to counsel  
2 for Seattle when the parties agreed to the proposed schedule in January, but make it impossible for  
3 Seattle to prepare its Rule 56(d) motion within the allotted time.

4 8. No party will be prejudiced by the proposed extension.

5 9. Accordingly, the parties stipulate to the proposed revised schedule for briefing and  
6 filing of motions:

7 Seattle's Rule 56(d) Motion: March 22, 2019

8 Plaintiffs' Opposition to Rule 56(d) Motion: April 12, 2019

9 Seattle's Reply in Support of Rule 56(d) Motion: April 26, 2019.

10  
11 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

12 DATED this 5th day of March, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

13 PETER S. HOLMES  
14 Seattle City Attorney

15 By: /s/Stacey M. Leyton  
16 WSBA #53757  
17 Stephen P. Berzon (pro hac vice)  
18 Stacey M. Leyton (pro hac vice)  
19 P. Casey Pitts (pro hac vice)  
20 Altshuler Berzon LLP  
21 177 Post Street, Suite 300  
22 San Francisco, CA 94108  
23 Phone: (415) 421-7151  
24 Fax: (415) 362-8064  
25 E-mail: sberzon@altber.com  
26 E-mail: sleyton@altber.com  
27 E-mail: cpitts@altber.com

Gregory C. Narver, WSBA #18127  
Sara O'Connor-Kriss, WSBA #41569  
Assistant City Attorneys  
Seattle City Attorney's Office  
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050  
Seattle, WA 98104  
Phone: (206) 684-8233 — Gregory C. Narver  
Phone: (206) 615-0788 — Sara O'Connor-Kriss

Fax: (206) 684-8284  
E-mail: gregory.narver@seattle.gov  
E-mail: sara.oconnor-kriss@seattle.gov

*Attorneys for Defendants*

By: s/ Christian G. Vergonis

Michael A. Carvin  
(D.C. Bar No. 366784)

(pro hac vice)  
Christian G. Vergonis  
(D.C. Bar No. 483293)

(pro hac vice)  
Jacqueline M. Holmes  
(D.C. Bar No. 450357)

(pro hac vice)  
Robert Stander  
(D.C. Bar No. 1028454)

(pro hac vice)  
JONES DAY  
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
(202) 879-3939  
(202) 616-1700 FAX  
mcarvin@jonesday.com

Timothy J. O'Connell, WSBA 15372  
STOEL RIVES LLP  
600 University Street, Suite 3600  
Seattle, WA 98101  
(206) 624-0900  
(206) 386-7500 FAX  
Tim.oconnell@stoel.com

Steven P. Lehotsky  
(D.C. Bar No. 992725)  
(pro hac vice)  
U.S. CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER  
1615 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20062  
(202) 463-3187  
slehotsky@uschamber.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Chamber of Commerce of the  
United States of America*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

By: s/ Robert J. Maguire  
Robert J. Maguire, WSBA 29909  
Douglas C. Ross, WSBA 12811  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE  
Suite 3300  
920 Fifth Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98104-1610  
(206) 622-3150  
(206) 757-7700 FAX  
robmaguire@dwt.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Rasier, LLC*

**ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 8<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2019.



---

The Hon. Robert S. Lasnik  
Senior United States District Judge

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27