

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
11 COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

13 ANDY SHIN FONG CHEN, et al.,

14 Defendants.


CASE NO. C17-0405JLR

ORDER

15
16 Before the court is Plaintiff the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC")
17 motion for an extension of time to file its response to Defendants Andy Shin Fong Chen
18 and Aero Space Port International Group, Inc.'s (collectively, "Defendants") renewed
19 motion to dismiss Relief Defendants. (Ext. Mot. (Dkt. # 187); *see also* MTD (Dkt.
20 # 184).) The SEC asks the court to "extend the time within which the SEC may file its
21 response" by 30 days. (Ext. Mot. at 1.) Defendants object to the SEC's requested
22 extension. (*Id.* at 2.)

1 The court finds that good cause exists to grant the SEC’s requested extension. *See*
2 Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). As the SEC points out, “any recommendation not to oppose
3 [Defendants’] motion for dismissal of Relief Defendants requires Commission
4 authorization” (Ext. Mot. at 1), and the requested extension will allow counsel additional
5 time to obtain authorization from the SEC before filing its response. Accordingly, the
6 court the SEC shall file its response to Defendants’ renewed motion to dismiss Relief
7 Defendants, if any, no later than November 9, 2022. Defendants shall file their reply, if
8 any, no later than November 15, 2022.

9 Dated this 11th day of October, 2022.

10
11 

12 JAMES L. ROBART
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22