

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

7  
8 SUZANNE JENNINGS(S),

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 WELLS FARGO, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

No. C17-406RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR RECONSIDERATION

13  
14 This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the  
15 Court's order dismissing all claims against Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Mortgage Electronic  
16 Registration Systems. Dkt. # 17. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored in this district and  
17 will be granted only upon a "showing of manifest error in the prior ruling" or "new facts or legal  
18 authority which could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier with reasonable  
19 diligence." LCR 7(h)(1). Plaintiff has not met this burden. Rather, plaintiff appears to argue  
20 that her TILA rescission letter deprived this Court of jurisdiction. Plaintiff does not address the  
21 grounds for the Court's order granting the defendants' motion to dismiss, namely, that plaintiff's  
22 claims – including the TILA claim on which plaintiff now relies – are time-barred and  
23 insufficiently pled. Accordingly, plaintiff has not shown manifest error or the existence of new  
24 facts or legal authority justifying reconsideration of the Court's order.

25  
26 ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR RECONSIDERATION

1 For all of the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 17) is  
2 DENIED.

3  
4 SO ORDERED this 11th day of May, 2017.

5  
6 

7 Robert S. Lasnik  
8 United States District Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26