

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

ANNETTE BLANCHARD,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
FREMONT HOME LOAN TRUST 2005-d  
acting Solely for HSBC Bank USA, N.A.,  
et al.,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. C17-415-RSM  
  
ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION  
TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*  
AND RECOMMENDATION FOR  
REVIEW

Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, has filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”) in the above-entitled action. Dkt. 1. After careful consideration of the application, the governing law and the balance of the record, the Court ORDERS as follows:

(1) Because plaintiff does not appear to have funds available to afford the \$400.00 filing fee, she financially qualifies for IFP status pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). Therefore, plaintiff’s IFP application, Dkt. 1, is GRANTED. However, the undersigned recommends review under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

//  
//  
//  
//

