

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 WILLIAM MCKOBY,

CASE NO. C17-0456-JCC

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER

11 v.

12 STATE OF WASHINGTON,

13 Defendant.
14

15 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff William McKoby's complaint (Dkt. Nos.
16 1-1 and 6). Mr. McKoby is proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). (Dkt. No. 5.) Under
17 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), district courts have authority to review IFP complaints and must dismiss
18 them if "at any time" it is determined that a complaint is frivolous or fails to state a claim on
19 which relief may be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); *see also id.* § 1915A(b)(1); *Lopez v. Smith*,
20 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir. 2000) (clarifying that Section 1915(e) applies to all IFP
21 proceedings, not just those filed by prisoners).

22 Mr. McKoby sues Defendants Jay Inslee, Robert Ferguson, and the State of Washington,
23 to name a few, for apparently rewriting the motor vehicle code using tricky wording, which
24 violates "State and Supreme Federal Law." (Dkt. No. 6 at 2.) Mr. McKoby alleges that "the
25 evidence shows it was a Plot of Extortion, Malfeasance, Misfeasance, [and] Treason against the
26 People." (*Id.* at 5.) The Court has determined that Mr. McKoby's case is frivolous and fails to

ORDER
PAGE - 1

1 state a claim on which relief may be granted. Accordingly, the Court DISMISSES Mr.
2 McKoby's complaint (Dkt. No. 6) with prejudice. Mr. McKoby's motion for court-appointed
3 counsel (Dkt. No. 3) is DISMISSED AS MOOT.

4
5 DATED this 10th day of April 2017.

6
7
8
9
10 

11
12 John C. Coughenour
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26