

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 ME2 PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 PAUL REARDON, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

Case No. C17-0465RSL

ORDER REINSTATING CLAIMS
AGAINST RAUL GONZALES

13 This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's "Motion to Set Aside Dismissal
14 or, in the Alternative, Leave to Amend the Complaint." Dkt. # 29. On June 28, 2017, the
15 Court granted an extension of time in which to affect service in this matter. Plaintiff was
16 given until July 5, 2017, to file proofs of service as to defendants Dukureh, Lysaker,
17 Gonzales, and Torres or a second motion detailing the efforts made toward effecting
18 personal service and establishing good cause for a further extension of time." Dkt. # 21 at
19 3. Plaintiff was warned that "[f]ailure to timely file or to make the required showing will
20 result in dismissal of the claims against any unserved defendants." Dkt. # 21 at 3-4.
21 Plaintiff failed to timely file proof of service or a second motion as to defendant Raul
22 Gonzales, and the claims against him were dismissed on July 7, 2017. Dkt. # 27.

23 Plaintiff has now filed proof that service was in fact achieved within the time
24 allowed. The failure to comply with the Court's order requiring filing by a certain date is
25 nevertheless troubling. Inadvertence or mistake does not constitute good cause.
26

ORDER REINSTATING CLAIMS AGAINST
RAUL GONZALES

1 Nevertheless, the Court will reinstate the claims against Raul Gonzales with the warning
2 that future failures to comply with service requirements and orders of the Court will not
3 be excused absent good cause.

4
5 Dated this 14th day of July, 2017.

6 

7 _____
8 Robert S. Lasnik
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26