

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 ME2 PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 SIMON POULSON, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

Case No. C17-0466RSL

ORDER PERMITTING SERVICE
BY MAIL

13 This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's "Renewed Motion to Permit
14 Alternative Mail Service or for Additional Time to Attempt Process Service." Dkt. # 25.
15 Federal Rule of Procedure 4(e)(1) allows plaintiff to effect service "pursuant to the law of
16 the state in which the district is located." Washington law authorizes service by mail upon
17 a showing that (a) defendant has made reasonably diligent efforts at personal service
18 (Rodriguez v. James-Jackson, 127 Wn. App. 139, 140 (2005)), and (b) defendant resides
19 in the state but has concealed herself in order to avoid service of process (RCW
20 4.28.100(4)).

21 Plaintiff has made multiple unsuccessful attempts to personally serve defendant
22 Pamela Khounmany. There is evidence that the named defendant resides at, or is
23 associated with, the address identified by the ISP and used by the process server. In
24 addition, residents of the apartment have declined to respond to the door, the named
25 defendant has declined to contact the process server to arrange service, and it appears that
26 she is actively avoiding service. All of the prerequisites for allowing service by mail are

ORDER PERMITTING SERVICE BY MAIL - 1

1 therefore satisfied, and there is reason to believe that service by mail will effectively
2 notify defendant of the claims against him.

3
4 Plaintiff's motion is GRANTED. Plaintiff may, therefore, accomplish service by
5 depositing copies of the summons, complaint, and this Order in the United States Post
6 Office directed to defendant Khounmany at her address.

7
8 Dated this 7th day of July, 2017.

9 
10 _____
11 Robert S. Lasnik
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26