[PROPOSED] STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL AS TO

## **STIPULATION**

Defendant The Nash Engineering Company and Plaintiffs Leslie Jack, individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of Patrick Jack, and David Jack, individually, hereby stipulate that all claims herein brought against The Nash Engineering Company may be dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party, reserving to Plaintiffs all rights of action, claims, and demands against any and all other parties. Plaintiffs and The Nash Engineering Company further stipulate to the entry of the following Order of Dismissal without further Notice.

24

///

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

[PROPOSED] STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL AS TO DEFENDANT THE NASH ENGINEERING COMPANY - 1 2:17-cv-00537 JLR

6484770.1

Williams, Kastner & Gibbs PLLC 601 Union Street, Suite 4100 Seattle, Washington 98101-2380 (206) 628-6600

Doc. 385

## Case 2:17-cv-00537-JLR Document 382 Filed 05/23/18 Page 2 of 3

DATED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2018. DATED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2018. 1 2 WILLIAMS, KASTNER & GIBBS PLLC SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER 3 4 By s/Dana C. Kopij By s/Benjamin H. Adams Dana C. Kopij, WSBA #31648 Thomas J. Breen, WSBA #34574 Lucas Garrett, WSBA #38452 5 Attorneys for Defendant The Nash Kristin Houser, WSBA #7286 6 Engineering Company DEAN OMAR BRANHAM LLP 7 Benjamin H. Adams (Pro Hac Vice) 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Leslie Jack, 9 Individually and as Personal Representative of Patrick Jack, and David Jack, Individually 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

[FROPOSED] STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL AS TO DEFENDANT THE NASH ENGINEERING COMPANY - 2 2:17-cv-00537 JLR:

Williams, Kastner & Gibbs PLLC 601 Union Street, Suite 4100 Seattle, Washington 98101-2380 (206) 628-6600

6484770.1

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL

THIS MATTER having come on regularly for hearing before this Court upon the foregoing Stipulation, and the Court being fully advised in the premises.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiffs' claims against defendant The Nash Engineering Company are dismissed in their entirety with prejudice and without costs to either party, reserving to Plaintiffs all of Plaintiffs' rights of action, claims and demands against any and all other parties. The pending motion for summary judgment filed by the Nash Engineering Company is denied as MOOT (DK+ #360) DATED this 24th day of May, 2018.

HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART

11 12

PRESENTED BY:

13

WILLIAMS, KASTNER & GIBBS PLLC

14 15

By s/Dana C. Kopij

16

Dana C. Kopij, WSBA #31648 Attorneys for Defendant The Nash Engineering Company

17

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT BY:

19

18

SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER

20

By s/Benjamin H. Adams

21

Thomas J. Breen, WSBA #34574 Lucas Garrett, WSBA #38452

22

Kristin Houser, WSBA #7286

DEAN OMAR BRANHAM LLP

23

24

Benjamin H. Adams (Pro Hac Vice) Attorneys for Plaintiffs Leslie Jack, Individually and as Personal Representative

25

of Patrick Jack, and David Jack, Individually

[PROPOSED] STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL AS TO DEFENDANT THE NASH ENGINEERING COMPANY - 3 2:17-cv-00537 JLR

Williams, Kastner & Gibbs PLLC 601 Union Street, Suite 4100 Seattle, Washington 98101-2380 (206) 628-6600

6484770.1