

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 TRAVIS SKYLER OWEN FRANKLIN,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 DIEGO LOPEZ DE CASTILLA, et al.,

12 Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-594-JLR-BAT

**ORDER DECLINING SERVICE
AND GRANTING LEAVE TO
AMEND**

13 Pro se plaintiff Travis Franklin, who is currently confined at the Clallam Bay Corrections
14 Center, files this 28 U.S.C. § 1983 prisoner civil rights complaint for conduct that occurred while
15 he was a detainee at the Clallam Bay Corrections Center and the Monroe Correctional Complex.
16 Dkt. 1-1. The Court declines to serve the complaint because it contains fatal deficiencies that, if
17 not addressed, might lead to a recommendation of dismissal of the entire action for failure to
18 state a claim upon which relief may be granted. However, because plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*,
19 he is granted leave to file an amended complaint or to show cause why his claim should not be
20 dismissed by **May 18, 2017. Any amended complaint will operate as a complete substitute**
21 **for all previously filed complaints; plaintiff's previous complaint will not be considered.**
22
23

ORDER DECLINING SERVICE AND
GRANTING LEAVE TO AMEND - 1

1 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

2 Plaintiff contends that on May 19, 2014, he was sent from Clallam Bay Correctional Center
3 to Olympic Medical Center in Port Angeles, where he remained for five days. He contends that
4 Dr. William Hobbs at the Olympic Medical Center diagnosed him with Grave’s Disease, high
5 blood pressure, “penomia,” and possible Nephrotic Syndrome. He further asserts that Dr. Hobbs
6 told him he needed immediate follow-up treatment with thyroid and kidney specialists, and that
7 Dr. Hobbs “discussed it with the prison and after care follow up appointments would be made and
8 a kidney biopsy would be ordered.” Plaintiff was subsequently transferred to Monroe Correctional
9 Complex on May 25, 2014 and taken to the Inpatient Unit, where he saw Dr. Diego Lopez de
10 Castilla. Plaintiff asserts Dr. Lopez de Castilla assured him he spoke with Dr. Hobbs, he was
11 aware of his medical needs, a kidney biopsy would be ordered, and that appointments would be
12 scheduled immediately with a kidney and thyroid doctor. Plaintiff asserts that he was released
13 from prison on July 14, 2014, having never received the medical care that was discussed. He
14 further asserts he is now back in prison, and his medical condition is getting worse. He seeks as
15 relief damages for pain and suffering and neglect, and a Court Order directing the DOC to provide
16 him with all of the “outside” medical care he needs.

17 **DISCUSSION**

18 To sustain a civil rights action under § 1983, a plaintiff must show (1) he suffered a
19 violation of rights protected by the Constitution or created by federal statute, and (2) the
20 violation was proximately caused by a person acting under color of state or federal law. *See*
21 *Crumpton v. Gates*, 947 F.2d 1418, 1420 (9th Cir. 1991).

22 **A. Named Defendants**

1 Plaintiff's complaint names as defendants Dr. Diego Lopez de Castilla and Gary Fleming,
2 but he provides no facts clearly linking Dr. Lopez de Castilla to his alleged harms, and no facts
3 whatsoever related to Mr. Fleming. Sweeping allegations against an official are insufficient to
4 state a claim for relief. A plaintiff must set forth specific facts showing a causal connection
5 between each defendant's actions and the harm allegedly suffered by plaintiff. *Aldabe v. Aldabe*,
6 616 F.2d 1089, 1092 (9th Cir. 1980). In other words, plaintiff must allege facts sufficient to
7 support his claims against each of the named defendants. Plaintiff may accomplish this by filing
8 an amended complaint that contains short, plain statements telling the Court: (1) the
9 constitutional right he believes was violated; (2) the name of the person who violated the right;
10 (3) exactly what that individual did or failed to do; (4) how the action or inaction of that person
11 is connected to the violation of his constitutional rights; and (5) what specific injury he suffered
12 because of that person's conduct. *See Rizzo v. Goode*, 423 U.S. 362, 371-72 (1976).

13 **B. Medical Care and Treatment**

14 The Eighth Amendment proscribes deliberate indifference to a prisoner's serious medical
15 needs. *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 103, 97 S.Ct. 285, 50 L.Ed.2d 251 (1976). However,
16 mere negligence in diagnosing or treating a medical condition, without more, does not violate a
17 prisoner's Eighth Amendment rights. *Hutchinson v. United States*, 838 F.2d 390, 394 (9th
18 Cir.1988). As pled, plaintiff's complaint fails to support a claim that amounts to more than mere
19 negligence. To establish "deliberate indifference," a prisoner must show that a specific
20 defendant or defendants purposefully ignored or failed to respond to the prisoner's pain or
21 possible medical need. *Id.* at 104. A determination of "deliberate indifference" involves an
22 examination of two elements: (1) the seriousness of the prisoner's medical need; and (2) the
23 nature of the defendant's response to that need. *McGuckin v. Smith*, 974 F.2d 1050, 1059 (9th

1 Cir.1992). Further, a prisoner can make no claim for deliberate medical indifference unless the
2 denial was harmful. *McGuckin*, 974 F.2d at 1060; *Shapely v. Nevada Bd. of State Prison*
3 *Comm'rs.*, 766 F.2d 404, 407 (9th Cir. 1985). If plaintiff intends to pursue a claim for deliberate
4 indifference to a serious medical need, he must file an amended complaint that includes facts
5 sufficient to show that the named defendants purposefully ignored or failed to respond to his
6 serious medical needs, and that the denial was harmful.

7 Until plaintiff has plainly stated what his claims are in the manner described above, the
8 Court cannot fully analyze whether he has stated a viable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

9 CONCLUSION

10 The Court **DECLINES** to serve the complaint which as discussed above is deficient.
11 However, the Court grants plaintiff permission to submit an amended complaint to attempt to
12 cure the above-mentioned deficiencies by **May 18, 2017**. The amended complaint must carry the
13 same case number as this one. **If no amended complaint is timely filed, the Court will**
14 **recommend that this matter be dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for failure to**
15 **state a claim on which relief can be granted.**

16 DATED this 18th day of April, 2017.

17
18 
19 _____
20 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
21 United States Magistrate Judge
22
23