

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 DUANE BOWEN, *et al.*,

CASE NO. C17-0677-JCC

10 Plaintiffs,

ORDER

11 v.

12 CSO FINANCIAL, INC., *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

14  
15 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' agreement regarding  
16 discovery of electronically stored information (ESI) (Dkt. No. 13). The parties hereby  
17 stipulate to the following provisions:

18 **A. General Principles**

19 1. An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting  
20 discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate  
21 in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and  
22 contributes to the risk of sanctions.

23 2. The proportionality standard set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure  
24 26(b)(1) must be applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the  
25 application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and  
26 related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

ORDER  
PAGE - 1

1 **B. ESI Disclosures**

2 Within 30 days after the Rule 26(f) conference, or at a later time if agreed to by the  
3 parties, each party shall disclose:

4 1. Custodians. The five custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their  
5 possession, custody, or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to  
6 the instant litigation, and the type of the information under his/her control.

7 2. Non-custodial Data Sources. A list of non-custodial data sources (e.g.  
8 shared drives, servers, etc.), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.

9 3. Third-Party Data Sources. A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely  
10 to contain discoverable ESI (e.g. third-party email and/or mobile device providers, “cloud”  
11 storage, etc.) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve  
12 information stored in the third-party data source.

13 4. Inaccessible Data. A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain  
14 discoverable ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to  
15 specifically identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under  
16 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(2)(B).

17 **C. Preservation of ESI**

18 The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation to take reasonable and  
19 proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party’s possession, custody or  
20 control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

21 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not  
22 be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up  
23 and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their  
24 possession, custody, or control.

25 2. All parties shall supplement their disclosures in accordance with Rule 26(e)  
26 with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure

1 where that data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under (C)(3)  
2 or (D)(1)-(2) below).

3 3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following  
4 categories of ESI need not be preserved:

- 5 a. Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.
- 6 b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral  
7 data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
- 8 c. On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache,  
9 cookies, and the like.
- 10 d. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as  
11 last-opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).
- 12 e. Back-up data that are substantially duplicative of data that are more  
13 accessible elsewhere.
- 14 f. Server, system, or network logs.
- 15 g. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the  
16 systems in use.
- 17 h. Electronic data (e.g. email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or  
18 from mobile devices (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android, and Blackberry  
19 devices), provided that a copy of all such electronic data is routinely  
20 saved elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or  
21 “cloud” storage).

19 **D. Privilege**

20 1. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the  
21 filing of the complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.

22 2. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are  
23 protected from disclosure and discovery under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(3)(A) and  
24 (B).

25 3. Information produced in discovery that is protected as privileged or work  
26

1 product shall be immediately returned to the producing party, and its production shall not  
2 constitute a waiver of such protection, if: (i) such information appears on its face to have been  
3 inadvertently produced or (ii) the producing party provides notice within 15 days of discovery  
4 by the producing party of the inadvertent production.

5 **E. ESI Discovery Procedures**

6 1. On-site inspection of electronic media. Such an inspection shall not be permitted  
7 absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by  
8 agreement of the parties.

9 2. Search methodology. The parties shall timely attempt to reach agreement on  
10 appropriate search terms, or an appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodology, before  
11 any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the  
12 appropriateness of the search terms or computer- or technology-aided methodology. For systems  
13 containing information regarding proposed class members or communications with proposed  
14 class members, upon reasonable request a party shall also disclose information relating to  
15 network design, the types of databases, database dictionaries, the ESI document retention policy,  
16 organizational chart for information systems personnel, or the backup and systems recovery  
17 routines, including, but not limited to, tape rotation and destruction/overwrite policy.

18 In the absence of agreement on appropriate search terms, or an appropriate computer- or  
19 technology-aided methodology, the following procedures shall apply:

20 a. A producing party shall disclose the search terms or queries, if any, and  
21 methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable information. The  
22 parties shall meet and confer to attempt to reach an agreement on the producing party's search  
23 terms and/or other methodology.

24 b. If search terms or queries are used to locate ESI likely to contain  
25 discoverable information, a requesting party is entitled to no more than 10 additional terms or  
26 queries to be used in connection with further electronic searches absent a showing of good

1 cause or agreement of the parties. The 10 additional terms or queries, if any, must be  
2 provided by the requesting party within 14 days of receipt of the producing party's production.

3 c. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries,  
4 such as product and company names, generally should be avoided. Absent a showing of  
5 good cause, each search term or query returning more than 250 megabytes of data are presumed  
6 to be overbroad, excluding Microsoft PowerPoint files, image and audio files, and similarly  
7 large file types.

8 d. The producing party shall search both non-custodial data sources and ESI  
9 maintained by the custodians identified above.

10 3. Format. The parties agree that ESI will be produced to the requesting party with  
11 searchable text, in a format to be decided between the parties. Acceptable formats include, but  
12 are not limited to, native files, multi-page TIFFs (with a companion OCR or extracted text  
13 file), single-page TIFFs (only with load files for e-discovery software that includes metadata  
14 fields identifying natural document breaks and also includes companion OCR and/or extracted  
15 text files), and searchable PDFs. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files that are not  
16 easily converted to image format, such as spreadsheet, database, and drawing files, should be  
17 produced in native format.

18 4. De-duplication. The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across  
19 custodial and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party.

20 5. Metadata fields. If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that  
21 only the following metadata fields need be produced: document type; custodian and duplicate  
22 custodians; author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; file name and size; original file  
23 path; date and time created, sent, modified and/or received; and hash value.

24 //

25 //

26 //

1 STIPULATED TO, DATED, AND RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 8th day of  
2 June, 2017.

3 TERRELL MARSHALL LAW  
4 GROUP PLLC

DAVIS ROTHWELL EARLE  
& XOCHIHUA, P.C.

5 By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759  
6 Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759  
7 Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com  
8 Blythe H. Chandler, WSBA #43387  
9 Email: bchandler@terrellmarshall.com  
10 Elizabeth A. Adams, WSBA #49175  
11 Email: eadams@terrellmarshall.com  
12 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300  
13 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869  
14 Telephone: (206) 816-6603  
15 Facsimile: (206) 319-5450

By: /s/ Suzanne Pierce, WSBA #22733  
Suzanne Pierce, WSBA #22733  
Email: spierce@davisrothwell.com  
Patrick Rothwell, WSBA #23878  
Email: prothwell@davisrothwell.com  
Keith M. Liguori, WSBA #51501  
Email: kliguori@davisrothwell.com  
520 Pike Street, Suite 2500  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: (206) 622-2295  
Facsimile: (206) 340-0724

12 Sam Leonard, WSBA #46498  
13 Email: sam@seattledbtdefense.com  
14 LEONARD LAW  
15 801 2nd Avenue, Suite 1410  
16 Seattle, Washington 98104  
17 Telephone: (206) 486-1176  
18 Facsimile: (206) 458-6028

*Attorneys for Defendant J. Michael Unfred,  
d/b/a J. Michael Unfred LLC*

HASSON LAW, LLC

By: /s/ Jeffrey I. Hasson, WSBA #23741  
Jeffrey I. Hasson, WSBA #23741  
E-Mail: hasson@hassonlawllc.com  
12707 NE Halsey Street  
Portland, Oregon 97230  
Telephone: (503) 255-5352  
Facsimile: (503) 255-6124

16 Paul Arons, WSBA #47599  
17 Email: lopa@rockisland.com  
18 LAW OFFICE OF PAUL ARONS  
19 685 Spring Street  
20 Friday Harbor, Washington 98250  
Telephone: (360) 378-6496  
Facsimile: (360) 378-6498

*Attorney for Defendants CSO Financial, Inc.  
and Mary Inscore*

21 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**ORDER**

Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 8th day of June 2017.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John C. Coughenour", is written above a horizontal line.

John C. Coughenour  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26