

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ALICE MIKELSEN, Surviving Spouse, and
SUSAN PAGE, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of ARTHUR MELVIN MIKELSEN,
deceased,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ASBESTOS CORPORATION, LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

NO. 2:17-cv-00700 RSL

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO ALLOW RE-
NOTING OF PENDING MOTION TO COMPEL

Currently pending is Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel IMO Industries, Inc.'s Discovery Responses (ECF 73), which is noted for consideration for February 2, 2018. The noting date is in accord with the Court's Minute Order Setting Trial Date & Related Dates (ECF 39), which requires all motions related to discovery to be noted for consideration no later than the Friday before the close of discovery. (Discovery is to be completed by February 4, 2018. Id.)

Plaintiffs and defendant IMO Industries, Inc. ("IMO") have agreed in principal upon terms of a settlement of this matter, but IMO requires additional time to obtain necessary

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO ALLOW RE-NOTING
OF PENDING MOTION TO COMPEL - 1 of 3
(2:17-cv-00700 RSL)
[4824-9215-4459]

LAW OFFICES
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP
ONE UNION SQUARE
600 UNIVERSITY, SUITE 2100
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-4185
(206) 676-7500 - FACSIMILE (206) 676-7575

1 approvals in order to confirm those arrangements (but does not expect difficulty doing
2 so), which then will allow the parties to withdraw this motion. Therefore, rather than
3 withdrawing or striking plaintiffs' Motion to Compel, plaintiffs and IMO request that the
4 Court allow a continuance of the noting date of plaintiffs' Motion to Compel, from
5 February 2, 2018 to February 16, 2018, so that the motion remains pending in the event
6 the parties are not able to confirm arrangements for a settlement in the interim. Counsel
7 for the parties believe that it is very likely that they will be able to confirm arrangements
8 for a settlement and strike pending motions prior to the extended noting date. Plaintiffs
9 and IMO therefore jointly request that the Court allow a brief continuance of this motion,
10 by allowing it to be re-noted for consideration for Friday, February 16, 2018.
11

12 DATED this 25th day of January, 2018.

13 GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP

SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER

14
15 By /s/ Michael E. Ricketts
16 Michael E. Ricketts, WSBA No. 09387
mricketts@gth-law.com
17 Attorneys for Defendant IMO Industries, Inc.

By /s/ Kristin Houser [per email authorization]
Kristin Houser, WSBA No. 07286
houser@sgb-law.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

18
19 ORDER

20 The Court, having reviewed the foregoing stipulation of counsel for Plaintiffs and
21 Defendant IMO Industries, Inc., and being fully advised in the premises, hereby orders

22 //

23 //

24 //


25 //

26
STIPULATION AND ORDER TO ALLOW RE-NOTING
OF PENDING MOTION TO COMPEL - 2 of 3
(2:17-cv-00700 RSL)
[4824-9215-4459]

LAW OFFICES
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP
ONE UNION SQUARE
600 UNIVERSITY, SUITE 2100
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-4185
(206) 676-7500 - FACSIMILE (206) 676-7575

1 that plaintiffs' pending Motion to Compel IMO Industries, Inc.'s Discovery Responses (ECF
2 73) may be re-noted for consideration for Friday, February 16, 2018.

3 DATED this 26th day of January, 2018.
4

5
6 
7 Robert S. Lasnik
8 United States District Judge

9
10 Presented By:

11 GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP

12
13 By /s/ Michael E. Ricketts

14 Michael E. Ricketts, WSBA No. 09387

15 mricketts@gth-law.com

16 Attorneys for Defendant IMO Industries, Inc.

17 SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER

18
19 By /s/ Kristin Houser [per email authorization]

20 Kristin Houser, WSBA No. 07286

21 houser@sgb-law.com

22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
23
24
25
26