UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

CENTRAL FREIGHT LINES, INC., Plaintiff, v. AMAZON FULFILLMENT SERVICES, et al., Defendant.	CASE NO. C17-0814JLR ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION

Before the court is Defendant Amazon Fulfillment Services' ("AFS") motion for partial reconsideration. (Mot. (Dkt. # 222).) For the reasons stated below, the motion is DENIED.

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(h)(1), motions for reconsideration are disfavored, and the court ordinarily will deny such motions unless the moving party shows (a) manifest error in the prior ruling, or (b) new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to the court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence. Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(1).

AFS presents no new facts or legal authority that could not have been brought to the court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence. (*See generally* Mot.) Instead, AFS asserts that the court committed manifest error by overlooking certain evidence in its order on the parties' motions for partial summary judgment (8/1/2019 Order (Dkt. # 214)). (*See* Mot. at 1.)

AFS's motion consists primarily of arguments it already presented in its summary judgment briefing that rely on evidence the court addressed at length in its August 1, 2019 order. AFS's disagreements with the court's analysis of that evidence do not establish manifest error. *See, e.g., Russell v. Comcast Corp.*, No. C08-0309TSZ, 2009 WL 995720, at *1 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 13, 2009) ("Plaintiff's motion is denied because, for the most part it simply rehashes arguments already made and rejected by the Court, and otherwise fails to establish that the Court committed a manifest error of law or fact.") (*citing Brown v. Wright*, 588 F.2d 708, 710 (9th Cir. 1978)).

Because AFS has not made a showing of manifest error in the court's prior ruling or brought to the court's attention any new facts or legal authority that could not have been brought to the court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence, the court DENIES AFS's motion for partial reconsideration (Dkt. # 222).

Dated this 20th day of August, 2019.



JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge