

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 AMR MOHSEN,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 CHARLES INGRAM,

13 Defendant.  
14

Case No. C17-817RSL


ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR RECONSIDERATION

15 This matter comes before the Court on petitioner Amr Mohsen's motion for  
16 reconsideration. Dkt. # 22. Petitioner initially filed this action under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 seeking  
17 an order directing the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) to file a compassionate-release motion on his  
18 behalf and a declaration that two BOP program statements are contrary to law. Dkt. # 3. The  
19 Court approved and adopted a Report and Recommendation by Magistrate Judge Mary Alice  
20 Theiler and dismissed the petition. Dkt. # 20. Petitioner filed this motion for reconsideration,  
21 Dkt. # 22, asserting that the Court's order includes several legal and factual errors.

22 Motions for reconsideration are disfavored in this district and will be granted only on a  
23 "showing of manifest error in the prior ruling" or "new facts or legal authority which could not  
24 have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier with reasonable diligence." LCR 7(h)(1).  
25 Petitioner also cites Federal Civil Rule 60, which provides for relief from a judgment due to  
26 mistake or inadvertence. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1). Petitioner shows no manifest error or mistake  
27 in the Court's ruling, nor does he cite new facts or authority that undermine the Court's previous  
28 conclusions. The motion for reconsideration, Dkt. # 22, is DENIED.

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - 1

1 DATED this 27th day of March, 2018.

2  
3   
4 Robert S. Lasnik  
5 United States District Judge  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28