

Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JAIMEY GARRETT, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE CJS SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC
d/b/a THE HCI GROUP,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:17-cv-00863-RSM

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR HCI TO
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO
THE COMPLAINT

Defendant The CJS Solutions Group, LLC d/b/a The HCI Group (“HCI”) hereby moves, with Plaintiff Jaimey Garrett’s assent, for a thirty-day extension of the time in which to answer, move, or otherwise respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint, through and including July 12, 2017. In support of this assented-to motion, HCI states the following:

1. Garrett commenced this action in Washington Superior Court for King County, captioned *Jaimey Garrett v. The CJS Solutions Group, LLC d/b/a The HCI Group*, Case No. 17-2-10799-9 SEA, on or about April 26, 2017.
2. On or about May 4, 2017, Garrett served the Complaint on HCI.
3. On June 5, 2017, HCI removed the action to this Court.
4. The current deadline for HCI’s responsive pleading is June 12, 2017.

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR
HCI TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT -

1
(Case 2:17-cv-00863-RSM)

39424164v.1

MILLER NASH GRAHAM & DUNN LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
T: 206.624.8300 | F: 206.340.9599
PIER 70
2801 ALASKAN WAY, SUITE 300
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98121

1 5. HCI seeks a 30-day extension of this deadline to allow it to more fully
2 investigate the facts alleged in the Complaint, as HCI's counsel was only recently retained.

3 6. On June 7, 2017, Garrett's counsel, Harold Lichten, assented to HCI's
4 request for a 30-day extension of time in which to respond to the Complaint.

5 7. This motion is filed before the response to the Complaint is due and is
6 filed in good faith and not for the purpose of unwarranted delay.

7 8. This motion constitutes HCI's first request for an extension of its
8 responsive pleading deadline in this action.

9 9. This motion does not constitute a waiver of any defense that HCI may
10 assert in response to Garrett's Complaint.

11 For the above reasons, HCI respectfully requests that the Court grant this
12 stipulated motion for an extension of time to answer, move or otherwise respond to Garrett's
13 Complaint in this action, through and including July 12, 2017.

14 DATED this 9th day of June, 2017.

16 /s/ Beth E. Terrell

17 /s/ Jennifer Rust Murray

18 Beth E. Terrell

18 Jennifer Rust Murray

19 Terrell Marshall Law Group PLLC

19 936 NORTH 34th STREET STE 300

20 SEATTLE WA 98103

20 bterrell@terrellmarshall.com

21 jmurray@terrellmarshall.com

22 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jaimey Garrett

/s/ Susan K. Stahlfeld

/s/ Francis L. Van Dusen, Jr.

 Susan K. Stahlfeld, WSB No. 22003

 Francis L. Van Dusen, Jr., WSB No. 1669

 MILLER NASH GRAHAM & DUNN LLP

 Pier 70

 2801 Alaskan Way, Suite 300

 Seattle, Washington 98121

 Telephone: (206) 624-8300

 Fax: (206) 340-9599

 Email: susan.stahlfeld@millernash.com

 Attorneys for Defendant

 The CJS Solutions Group, LLC

1 Harold Lichten*
2 hlichten@llrlaw.com
3 Olena Savvytska*
4 osavytska@llrlaw.com
5 Lichten & Liss-Riordan, P.C.
6 729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000
7 BOSTON, MA 02116
8
9 *Pro Hac Vice anticipated
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jaimey Garrett

11 Sarah R. Schalman-Bergen*
12 sschalman-bergen@bm.net
13 Eric Lechtzin*
14 elechtzin@bm.net
15 Camille Fundora*
16 cfundora@bm.net
17 Berger & Montague, P.C.
18 1622 LOCUST STREET
19 PHILADELPHIA PA 19103
20
21 *Pro Hac Vice anticipated
22 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jaimey Garrett

Richard L. Alfred (BBO #015000)*
ralfred@seyfarth.com
Patrick J. Bannon (BBO #635523)*
pbannon@seyfarth.com
Anne Bider (BBO #682142)*
abider@seyfarth.com
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
Two Seaport Lane, Suite 300
Boston, MA 02210-2028
Telephone: (617) 946-4800

*Pro Hac Vice anticipated
Attorneys for Defendant
The CJS Solutions Group, LLC

1 **ORDER**

2 Upon consideration of the Stipulation of the Parties Extending Time to Respond
3 to Complaint, good cause having been shown, it is hereby

4 ORDERED that the Stipulation is approved and that the Defendant HCI may have
5 until July 12, 2017, to respond to the Complaint.

6 Dated this 12 day of June, 2017.

7
8 

9 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
10 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26