

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 PAUL J. BECK, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 U.S. BANK NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION, et al.,

14 Defendants.


CASE NO. C17-0882JLR

ORDER REGARDING
SCHEDULING OF
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS

15
16 On September 18, 2017, the court granted Plaintiffs' unopposed motion for an
17 extension of time to file a response to Defendants' motion to dismiss. (9/18/17 Order
18 (Dkt. # 20).) The court's order grants Plaintiffs an extension until Tuesday, September
19 26, 2017, to file their response. (*See id.* at 3.) Accordingly, the court ORDERS
20 Defendants to file their reply memorandum, if any, no later than Monday, October 2,
21 2017, and also DIRECTS the Clerk to renote Defendants' motion to dismiss (Dkt. # 10)
22 for that same day.

1 The court also notes that this case is in its preliminary stages and Plaintiffs'
2 counsel has already twice missed a court deadline due at least in part to his heavy
3 caseload. (*See* OSC (Dkt. # 12); OSC Resp. (Dkt. # 13); Mot. for Extension (Dkt. # 17);
4 9/18/17 Order.) The court warns Plaintiffs' counsel that he must arrange his practice in a
5 manner that permits him to meet the court deadlines and other obligations that this
6 litigation entails. The court will not continue to indulge counsel's failure to adhere to its
7 scheduling orders and other deadlines without imposing consequences.

8 Dated this 19th day of September, 2017.

9
10 

11 JAMES L. ROBART
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22