

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 RICHARD L. PARENTEAU, JR.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 BENJAMIN SANDERS,

12 Defendant.

CASE NO. C17-888-JCC-BAT

**ORDER DECLINING TO SERVE
AND GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE
AN AMENDED COMPLAINT**

13 *Pro se* plaintiff Richard L. Parenteau, Jr., proceeding *in forma pauperis* (IFP), files a civil
14 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Dkt. 1-1. The Court declines to serve the complaint
15 because it contains fatal deficiencies that, if not addressed, might lead to a recommendation of
16 dismissal of the entire action for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

17 However, because plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, he is granted leave to file an amended
18 complaint or to show cause why his claim should not be dismissed by **July 26, 2017**. **Any**
19 **amended complaint will operate as a complete substitute for all previously filed complaints;**
20 **plaintiff's previous complaint will not be considered.**

21 **DISCUSSION**

22 To sustain a civil rights action under § 1983, a plaintiff must show (1) he suffered a
23 violation of rights protected by the Constitution or created by federal statute, and (2) the

ORDER DECLINING TO SERVE AND
GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE AN AMENDED
COMPLAINT - 1

1 violation was proximately caused by a person acting under color of state or federal law. *See*
2 *Crumpton v. Gates*, 947 F.2d 1418, 1420 (9th Cir. 1991). In addition, Rule 8(a)(2) of the Federal
3 Rules of Civil Procedure “requires a complaint to include a short and plain statement of the claim
4 showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, in order to give the defendant fair notice of what the
5 claim is and the grounds upon which it rests.” *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 554
6 (2007) (citing *Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41 (1957)).

7 Plaintiff’s complaint lacks sufficient detail to make out any claims. Plaintiff must
8 therefore clarify his claims as described below. A failure to do so will result in the action being
9 dismissed.

10 **A. Defendant Benjamin Sanders**

11 Plaintiff’s complaint names as defendant medical director Dr. Benjamin Sanders. He
12 contends he has been complaining about illegally implanted electrodes in his body and that he
13 has sought medical care to address his pain. He further contends that Dr. Sanders “is in charge
14 of Jail Health Services here . . . and is denying me medical care.” Dkt. 1-1 at 3.

15 As a preliminary matter, sweeping allegations against an official are insufficient to state a
16 claim for relief. A plaintiff must set forth specific facts showing a causal connection between
17 each defendant’s actions and the harm allegedly suffered. *Aldabe v. Aldabe*, 616 F.2d 1089,
18 1092 (9th Cir. 1980). Plaintiff must therefore file an amended complaint with short, plain
19 statements telling the Court: (1) the constitutional right he believes was violated; (2) the name of
20 the person who violated the right; (3) exactly what that individual did or failed to do; (4) how the
21 action or inaction of that person is connected to the violation of his constitutional rights; and (5)
22 what specific injury he suffered because of that person’s conduct. *See Rizzo v. Goode*, 423 U.S.
23 362, 371–72 (1976).

1 **B. Medical Care and Treatment**

2 Plaintiff appears to assert he suffered a violation of his constitutional rights by deliberate
3 indifference to his serious medical needs. To establish “deliberate indifference,” plaintiff must
4 show that a named defendant purposefully ignored or failed to respond to his pain or possible
5 medical need. *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 104 (1976). A determination of “deliberate
6 indifference” involves an examination of two elements: (1) the seriousness of the prisoner’s
7 medical need; and (2) the nature of the defendant’s response to that need. *McGuckin v. Smith*,
8 974 F.2d 1050, 1059 (9th Cir. 1992). Mere negligence in diagnosing or treating a medical
9 condition, without more, does not violate a prisoner’s Eighth Amendment rights. *Hutchinson v.*
10 *United States*, 838 F.2d 390, 394 (9th Cir. 1988). Further, a prisoner can make no claim for
11 deliberate medical indifference unless the denial was harmful. *McGuckin*, 974 F.2d at 1060;
12 *Shapely v. Nevada Bd. of State Prison Comm’rs.*, 766 F.2d 404, 407 (9th Cir. 1985).

13 The allegations in plaintiff’s complaint are deficient because they lack detail and factual
14 support. For each allegation, plaintiff must identify the name of the person who violated his
15 constitutional right, and the specific injury he suffered because of that person’s conduct. *Rizzo*,
16 423 U.S. at 371–72. Additionally, he must explain 1) how his allegations support a claim of a
17 serious medical need, and 2) how each of the defendants identified in connection with these
18 claims were deliberately indifferent to those serious medical needs.

19 **CONCLUSION**

20 The Court **DECLINES** to serve the complaint which as discussed above is deficient.
21 However, the Court grants plaintiff permission to submit an amended complaint to attempt to
22 cure the above-mentioned deficiencies by **July 26, 2017**. The amended complaint must carry the
23 same case number as this one. Any amended complaint will operate as a complete substitute for

1 all previously filed complaints; plaintiff's previous complaint will not be considered. **If no**
2 **amended complaint is timely filed, the Court will recommend that this matter be dismissed**
3 **under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for failure to state a claim on which relief can be**
4 **granted.**

5 DATED this 26th day of June, 2017.

6
7 
8 _____
9 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
10 United States Magistrate Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23