

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 INGE T. ANDERSON,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 SCOTT ALAN ANDERSON,

12 Defendant.

NO. C17-0891RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF
LAW, NEW TRIAL, AND/OR
ADDITTUR


13
14 This matter comes before the Court on “Plaintiff’s Renewed Motion for Judgment as a
15 Matter of Law, FRCP 50(b), Motion for New Trial, FRCP 59[,] and Motion for Addittur.” Dkt.
16 # 158. Having reviewed the record in this matter, having heard the evidence and arguments
17 offered at trial, and having reviewed the parties’ submissions,¹ the Court finds as follows:
18

19 The Court has no independent recollection of plaintiff making a Rule 50 motion at the
20 close of defendant’s case, nor do the minutes of the proceeding reflect such a motion. Regardless
21 whether plaintiff seeks relief under Rule 50 or Rule 59, the Court declines to disturb the jury’s
22 verdict or to reconsider its prior orders. Any perceived abuse of discretion during discovery,
23 error of law, error of fact, or improper conduct can be raised on appeal.
24

25
26 ¹ Plaintiff’s motion for leave to file an overlength memorandum (Dkt. # 159) is GRANTED.

27 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR JUDGMENT
28 AS A MATTER OF LAW, NEW TRIAL,
AND/OR ADDITTUR - 1

1 Dated this 4th day of November, 2019.

2 

3 Robert S. Lasnik
4 United States District Judge
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR JUDGMENT
28 AS A MATTER OF LAW, NEW TRIAL,
AND/OR ADDITTUR - 2