asserted an interest in defendant's finances and expenditures does not make that information

ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS TO QUASH SUBPOENAS - 1

26

27

28

relevant to any claim or defense asserted in this litigation. Nor has plaintiff made any effort to explain how benefits applications or attorney's fee payments are relevant.

Defendant has, however, asserted affirmative defenses and counterclaims that put at issue payments he made to plaintiff from the time of their separation to the present. If defendant intends to argue that the calculation of the support he owes plaintiff under the I-864 contract must be reduced by amounts he has already transferred to plaintiff, he shall, within fourteen days of the date of this Order, produce any responsive bank account statements that reflect such transfers. If defendant abandons the affirmative defenses and counterclaims that put the payments at issue, no further production is necessary.

For all of the foregoing reasons, the motion to quash the subpoena served on Wells Fargo (Dkt. # 74) is GRANTED. Defendant's second motion to quash (Dkt. # 75) is GRANTED in part.

Dated this 14th day of March, 2019.

Robert S. Lasnik

MMS Casnik

United States District Judge

ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS TO QUASH SUBPOENAS - 2