

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

MATTHEW SUND,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
LABOR READY NORTHWEST et al.,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. C17-895 RSM  
  
ORDER FOR AMENDED COMPLAINT

*Pro Se* Plaintiff, Matthew Sund, has been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this matter. Dkt. #4. The Complaint was filed on June 12, 2017, listing as defendants Labor Ready Northwest and SanMar Corporation. Dkt. #5. Summonses have not yet been issued.

Plaintiff’s Complaint contains almost no factual detail. There is form language stating a claim under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, however, in response to “acts complained of in this suit” Plaintiff states only “[f]ailure to employ me,” and Plaintiff’s further detail alleges only “contract fraud” against Labor Ready Northwest without reference to the elements of a Title VII claim. Dkt. #5 at 2. Plaintiff does attach an EEOC “Charge of Discrimination” from Plaintiff against Labor Ready Northwest, however that information is not included in the Complaint. Dkt. #5-1. In any event, this attachment does not set forth specific details of the acts of Defendants forming the basis for Plaintiff’s claims. The Complaint requests the Court

1 direct the United States Marshal Service to seize the defendant's property in the King County  
2 area" but seeks no other relief. Dkt. #5 at 3.

3 The Court will dismiss a Complaint at any time if the action fails to state a claim, raises  
4 frivolous or malicious claims, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from  
5 such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). Plaintiff does not clearly identify what laws or  
6 statutes he believes are being violated by the two Defendants in this case, and he does not  
7 support his claims with specific facts. It is unclear from the Complaint how federal subject  
8 matter jurisdiction arises in this matter or why this Court has the authority to provide the type  
9 of remedy that Plaintiff now seeks.  
10

11 Accordingly, Plaintiff's Complaint suffers from deficiencies that, if not corrected in an  
12 Amended Complaint, require dismissal. In the Amended Complaint, Plaintiff must write a  
13 short and plain statement telling the Court: (1) the laws or statutes under which he brings each  
14 of his claims against each of the Defendants; (2) exactly what facts support each of the alleged  
15 violations of law; and (3) what specific injury Plaintiff suffered because of each alleged  
16 violation of law. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint must contain the above information and may  
17 not rely on attachments.  
18

19 Therefore, the Court hereby finds and **ORDERS** that Plaintiff shall file an Amended  
20 Complaint containing the detail described above **no later than twenty-one (21) days from the**  
21 **date of this Order.** The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff at 6337 S.  
22 **HIGHLAND DR. #213 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84121.**  
23

24 //

25 //

26 //

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of June 2017.



RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28