ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR POST-JUDGMENT RELIEF

26

retaliatory intent. Plaintiff has not shown manifest error in that ruling.

To the extent plaintiff seeks to have the judgment vacated under Rule 60, she has not identified the grounds for such relief. Plaintiff has not shown mistake, newly discovered evidence, misconduct by defendants, or any other reason justifying relief from the judgment other than the fact that she disagrees with it. Nor does she argue that the judgment is void or has been satisfied. Relief is not available under Rule 60.

For all of the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for post-judgment relief is DENIED.

Dated this 25th day of February, 2019.

MWS Casnik

United States District Judge

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR POST-JUDGMENT RELIEF