1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	ATSEAT	ILL
10	R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA,	CASE NO. C17-0961JLR
11 12	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER SCHEDULING ORAL ARGUMENT
13	HOA SALON ROOSEVELT, INC., et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15 16	The court hereby SCHEDULES oral argument on the Defendants' motion for	
17	summary judgment (Dkt. # 30) for Wednesday, January 23, 2019, at 10:00 a.m. The	
18	court further DIRECTS the parties to prepare to respond to the following issues:	
19	1. Why should the court not strike the declaration of Sherrie Chan (Chan Decl.	
20	(Dkt. # 36)) based on Plaintiff's failure to timely disclose this witness? See Fed.	
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	R. Civ. P. 22(a)(1)(A)(i); Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1);	
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	//	
	1	

2. If the court strikes Ms. Chan's declaration, what evidence, if any, remains in the record that would create a genuine dispute of material fact concerning Defendants' willful violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act?
Dated this 14th day of January, 2019.

JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge

m R. Plut