Ш

1	TH	E HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8	AT SEATTLE	
9	JOHN ANDREW FLOYD,	CASE NO. C17-1154-JCC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	v.	
12	GEICO INSURANCE COMPANY,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's motion for a protective order and/or	
16	for clarification (Dkt. No. 25). Having thoroughly considered the parties' briefing and the	
17	relevant record, the Court finds oral argument unnecessary and hereby GRANTS the motion for	
18	the reasons explained herein.	
19	This Court recently entered an order granting in part Plaintiff's motion to compel (Dkt.	
20	No. 22). The order directed Defendant to provide "a full and adequate response to Interrogatory	
21	No. 11 on a nationwide basis for all Continuing Unit claims supervisors <i>similarly situated</i> to	
22	Plaintiff." ( <i>Id.</i> at 5) (emphasis added). <sup>1</sup> The parties disagree as to the scope of the Court's	
23	directive. Plaintiff believes a response is required for all entries of default judgment. (See	
24		
25	<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff's Interrogatory No. 11 sought comparator information, namely disciplinary actions taken against Claims Unit supervisors following an entry of default judgment on cases they oversaw. (Dkt. Nos. 13 at 5, 15-12 at 8.)	
26		

ORDER C17-1154-JCC PAGE - 1 *generally* Dkt. No. 28.) Defendant believes a response is required only for entries of default
judgment on claims not yet referred to counsel and for which Defendant had not yet disclaimed
coverage. (*See generally* Dkt. Nos. 25, 30.) It is undisputed that neither Plaintiff nor his
subordinate had referred the claim to legal counsel or disclaimed coverage when default
judgment was entered. (Dkt. No. 25 at 7–9.)

The Court CLARIFIES that for purposes of its prior order (Dkt. No. 22), a Claims Unit supervisor is only *similarly situated* to Plaintiff if he or she supervised a claim in which a default judgment was entered before (1) it was referred to counsel or (2) Defendant disclaimed coverage. Accordingly, Defendant's motion for a protective order (Dkt. No. 25) is GRANTED. Discovery need not be provided on entries of default judgment occurring after a Claims Unit had referred the claim to legal counsel or Defendant disclaimed coverage.

DATED this 7th day of June 2018.

CCoyhana

John C. Coughenour UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER C17-1154-JCC PAGE - 2