

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 VENICE PI, LLC,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 RANDY PATTERSON; BRIAN
12 HAWES; DOE 9; and YEN KHUN,

Defendants.

C17-1163 TSZ

MINUTE ORDER

13 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable
14 Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge:

15 (1) On April 22, 2019, plaintiff filed a notice of voluntary dismissal, docket
16 no. 62, seeking to dismiss its claims against the remaining defendants without prejudice.
17 In its notice of voluntary dismissal, plaintiff purported to identify an individual defendant
18 who was granted permission by the Court to proceed anonymously in this case. *See*
19 Minute Order (docket no. 22). Plaintiff made the same error in the caption of an earlier
20 filing. *See* Reply, docket no. 61. The Clerk has recently sealed both documents, but they
21 were available for public view for a sufficient time that they might have been uploaded
22 by private websites unrelated to and outside the control of the Court, and might now be
23 available to the public for a fee. *See* www.pacermonitor.com; www.law360.com. The
Clerk is DIRECTED to send copies of plaintiff's notice of voluntary dismissal and this
Minute Order to Doe 9 at the address set forth in the Confidential Subscriber Information,
docket no. 26-1.

(2) With regard to defendant Randy Patterson, who filed an objection, docket
no. 60, to plaintiff's response, docket no. 59, to the Court's Minute Order entered
October 19, 2018, docket no. 58, the Court DECLINES to permit plaintiff to voluntarily

1 dismiss its claim **without** prejudice in the absence of any proof of service of its notice of
2 voluntary dismissal on Mr. Patterson.

3 (3) Pursuant to plaintiff's notice of voluntary dismissal, plaintiff's claims
4 against defendants Brian Hawes, Doe 9, and Yen Khun are deemed dismissed without
5 prejudice. With regard to Mr. Patterson, plaintiff's notice is treated as a motion to
6 dismiss, and is NOTED for May 24, 2019. Mr. Patterson may file, on or before May 20,
7 2019, a response to plaintiff's motion, indicating whether he objects to dismissal of
8 plaintiff's claim **without** prejudice, which would allow plaintiff to refile its claim against
9 him in another case. Any reply shall be filed by the new noting date.

10 (4) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of
11 record, to Doe 9 as indicated in Paragraph 1, above, and to Randy Patterson.

12 Dated this 25th day of April, 2019.

13
14 William M. McCool
15 Clerk

16
17 s/Karen Dews
18 Deputy Clerk