1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 PUGET SOUND SURGICAL CASE NO. C17-1190JLR 10 CENTER, PS, ORDER DENYING THE 11 PARTIES' STIPULATED Plaintiff, PROTECTIVE ORDER 12 v. WITHOUT PREJUDICE 13 AETNA LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Before the court is the parties' proposed stipulated protective order. (See Stip. 17 (Dkt. # 63).) The court declines to enter the parties' stipulation as an order because they 18 failed to comply with Local Civil Rule 26(c)(2). See Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 19 26(c)(2). Pursuant to this rule, "[p]arties are encouraged to use this district's model 20 protective order, available on the court's website." Id. "Parties that wish to depart from 21 the model order must provide the court with a redlined version identifying departures

from the model." *Id.* Here, the parties appear to utilize the court's model protective

22

order but depart from it in certain respects. (See Stip.) Despite their departure from the model, the parties fail to provide the court with a redlined version as required under Local Civil Rule 26(c)(2). (See generally Dkt.) Accordingly, the court DENIES the parties' stipulated motion (Dkt. # 63) but without prejudice to re-filing in a manner that comports with Local Civil Rule 26(c)(2). Dated this 28th day of March, 2018. m R. Plut JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge