1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 TO-UYEN NGUYEN LE, et al., CASE NO. C17-1223JLR 10 Plaintiffs, ORDER 11 v. 12 ELAINE DUKE, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a summons and a copy of the plaintiff's complaint and sets forth the specific requirements 16 17 for doing so. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which 18 service must be effectuated, states in relevant part: 19 If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss 20 the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the 21 failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period. 22

Id. Here, Plaintiffs have failed to serve Defendants with a summons and a copy of Plaintiffs' complaint within the timeframe provided in Rule 4(m).

Accordingly, the court ORDERS Plaintiffs to SHOW CAUSE within ten (10) days of the date of this order why this action should not be dismissed for failure to comply with Rule 4(m). If Plaintiffs do not demonstrate good cause for the failure, the court will dismiss the action without prejudice.

Dated this 24 day of November, 2017.

JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge