Karnoski et al v. Trump et al

Doc. 517

Plaintiffs Ryan Karnoski, Staff Sergeant Cathrine Schmid, D.L., Chief Warrant Officer Lindsey Muller, Petty Officer First Class Terece Lewis, Petty Officer Second Class Phillip Stephens, Petty Officer Second Class Megan Winters, Jane Doe, Human Rights Campaign, Gender Justice League, and American Military Partners Association n/k/a Modern Military Association of America (collectively "Plaintiffs"), Plaintiff-Intervenor State of Washington, and Defendants Donald J. Trump, Mark Esper, and the United States Department of Defense (collectively "Defendants," and together with Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-Intervenor, "Parties") hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on April 15, 2020, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion to compel documents responsive to their Request for Production ("RFP') No. 44, ordering that, by May 1, 2020, Defendants must (1) "produce to the Court for *in camera* review a list of the name, rank, and service unit of each transgender service member rendered non-deployable on account of gender dysphoria or transition-related medical care, and the duration of and specific reason(s) for such non-deployability for each service branch since June 30, 2016"; and (2) "provide Plaintiffs with a version of the list, subject to the Parties' protective order, and substituting a unique anonymized identifier in place of each member's name." Order 1–2, Dkt. 485.

WHEREAS, on April 20, 2020, the Court ordered Defendants to provide responses to, among other things, Washington's Interrogatory No. 16 and RFP No. 16 ("WA Request Nos. 16"). Order Dkt. No. 486.

WHEREAS, on April 27, 2020, Defendants moved for a 75-day extension of time to respond to the Court's order on Plaintiffs' RFP No. 44. Dkt. 488. Defendants explained that complying with the Court's order would require a review by medical professionals of more than 1,000 service members' medical records and would take approximately nine weeks. *Id.* Defendants further explained that the current COVID-19 pandemic resulted in the review taking longer than it would otherwise. *Id.*

WHEREAS, on April 29, 2020, the parties met and conferred regarding Defendants' motion for an extension of time, and Plaintiffs expressed concern that the review method

determination by one of Defendants' employees for purposes of this litigation based on an after the fact and discretionary view of whether a service member would have been non-deployable on account of gender dysphoria or transition-related medical care. Plaintiffs further stated that only contemporaneous determinations of non-deployability reflected directly in service members' records are responsive to their request. Defendants explained that, notwithstanding Plaintiffs' view of what materials were responsive to their request, the only way to comply with the Court's order to submit *in camera* "a list of the name, rank, and service unit of each transgender service member rendered non-deployable on account of gender dysphoria or transition-related medical care, and the duration of and specific reason(s) for such non-deployability for each service branch since June 30, 2016," Order 1–2, Dkt. 485, would be to conduct a review in the manner specified in Defendants' declarations, *see* Dkts. 489-2, 489-3, 489-4.

WHEREAS, on May 1, 2020, Defendants filed an interim response to the Court's order on RFP No. 44. Dkt. 493.

WHEREAS, on May 4, 2020, the parties again met and conferred in an effort to reach an agreement on Defendants' method for responding to RFP No. 44 and WA Request Nos. 16.

WHEREAS, on May 5, 2020, the parties filed a joint stipulation requesting an extension of Plaintiffs' deadline to respond to Defendants' motion for extension of time to respond to the Court's order on Plaintiffs' RFP No. 44. In the joint stipulation, the parties explained that they had held a meet-and-confer and were working towards reaching an agreement regarding Defendants' response to Plaintiffs' RFP No. 44, subject to the Court's approval. *Id.* On May 6, 2020, the Court granted the requested extension of Plaintiffs' response deadline. Order, Dkt. 499.

WHEREAS, on May 6, 2020, the parties met and conferred regarding Defendants' deadline to respond to WA Request Nos. 16 and further conferred in an effort to reach agreement on Defendants' method of responding to RFP No. 44 and WA Request Nos. 16.

19

21

23

22

24 25

26 27

28

WHEREAS, on May 6, 2020, the parties filed a joint stipulation requesting a 28-day extension of time for Defendants to respond to WA Request Nos. 16. Dkt. 501. In the joint stipulation, the parties explained that they had held a meet-and-confer and were currently discussing the types of documents responsive to RFP 16 and whether the parties can reach an agreement on the documents to be produced in response to RFP 16. *Id.* On May 11, 2020, the Court granted the requested extension concerning WA Request Nos. 16. Dkt. 507.

WHEREAS, on May 13, 2020, the parties filed a joint stipulation requesting a second extension of Plaintiffs' deadline to respond to Defendants' motion for extension of time to respond to the Court's order on Plaintiffs' RFP No. 44. Dkt. 511. In the joint stipulation, the parties explained that they had been working towards reaching an agreement regarding the Government's response to Plaintiffs' Request for Production Number 44, and that additional time was required to finalize the details of the agreement. *Id.* On May 14, 2020, the Court granted the requested extension of Plaintiffs' response deadline. Order, Dkt. 512.

WHEREAS, the parties have continued to meet and confer and work towards an agreement on Defendants' response to the Court's orders concerning Plaintiffs' RFP No. 44 and WA RFP No. 16.

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties, through their respective counsel of record, do hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

> By June 1, 2020, Defendants shall produce to Plaintiffs (1) all "profiles" of Army or Air Force service members related to a condition that reasonably might be associated with gender dysphoria or transition treatment since June 30, 2016; and (2) all "Limited Duty" or "LIMDU" records of Navy service members related to a condition that reasonably might be associated with gender dysphoria or transition treatment since June 30, 2016. Defendants shall be permitted to redact personally identifiable information from these records. However, where multiple "profiles" or "Limited Duty" records relate to the same service

1 member. Defendants will so indicate.¹ 2 However, Defendants having advised that the profiles may not directly indicate 3 whether a condition is related to gender dysphoria or transition related medical 4 care, Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-Intervenor reserve their right to seek additional 5 documents or information once the above documents have been produced and 6 Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-Intervenor have had opportunity to review. 7 Subject to the above reservation of rights, the parties agree that this satisfies 8 Defendants' obligations to respond to Plaintiffs' RFP No. 44 and, with the 9 exception of Coast Guard records, Washington's RFP No. 16, and that 10 Defendants need not provide additional information or documents to satisfy the 11 Court's orders (Dkt. 485, 486, and 507) with respect to those requests at this 12 time.² 13 Defendants' motion for a 75-day extension of time to respond to the Court's 14 order on Plaintiffs' RFP No. 44, Dkt. 488, shall be denied as moot. 15 16 SO STIPULATED. 17 18 Respectfully submitted, May 20, 2020. 19 **NEWMAN DU WORS LLP UNITED STATES** 20 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 21 s/Matthew Skurnik s/Rachel Horvitz 22 23 24 ¹ By way of example only, Defendants will not produce profiles and Limited Duty records related to conditions 25 such as a broken toe, which could not reasonably be associated with gender dysphoria or transition related care. However, Defendants will produce profiles and Limited Duty records related to conditions such as a mastectomy, 26 which could reasonably relate to gender dysphoria or transition related care. ² Defendants' obligations as to the Coast Guard and for all other requests, including Washington's Interrogatory 27 No. 16, shall remain as previously ordered. See Dkt. 507. Parties will continue attempts to reach a similar agreement regarding the Coast Guard's records as the Coast Guard completes its response to Washington's 28 Interrogatory No. 16. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE JOINT STIPULATION CONCERNING THE COURT'S ORDERS ON PLAINTIFFS' Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44 AND WASHINGTON'S REQUEST FOR

1100 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 Tel: (202) 616-8188

PRODUCTION NO. 16 - 4

1	Derek A. Newman, WSBA No. 26967	JOSEPH H. HUNT
	dn@newmanlaw.com	Assistant Attorney General
2	Jason B. Sykes, WSBA No. 44369	Civil Division
3	jason@newmanlaw.com Rachel Horvitz, WSBA No. 52987	ALEXANDER K. HAAS
	rachel@newmanlaw.com	Branch Director
4	2101 Fourth Ave., Ste. 1500	
5	Seattle, WA 98121	ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO
	(206) 274-2800	Deputy Director
6 7 8 9	LAMDBA LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATION FUND, INC. Tara Borelli, WSBA No. 36759 tborelli@lambdalegal.org Camilla B. Taylor (admitted pro hac vice)	ANDREW E. CARMICHAEL, VA Bar # 76578 andrew.e.carmichael@usdoj.gov MATTHEW SKURNIK, NY Bar # 5553896 Matthew.Skurnik@usdoj.gov
10	Peter C. Renn (admitted pro hac vice) Sasha Buchert (admitted pro hac vice)	JAMES R. POWERS, TX Bar #24092989 james.r.powers@usdoj.gov Trial Attorney
11	Kara Ingelhart (admitted pro hac vice) Carl Charles (admitted pro hac vice)	United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
12	Paul D. Castillo (admitted pro hac vice)	1100 L Street NW, Suite 12108
13		Washington, DC 20530
	OUTSERVE-SLDN, INC. N/K/A	(202) 616-8188
14	MODERN MILITARY ASSOCIATION	Comment for Defendant
15	OF AMERICA	Counsel for Defendants OFFICE OF THE WASHINGTON
	Peter Perkowski (admitted pro hac vice)	STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
16		
17	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
	James F. Hurst, P.C. (admitted pro hac vice)	s/ Chalia I. Stallings-Ala'ilima
18	Steve Patton (admitted pro hac vice) Jordan M. Heinz (admitted pro hac vice)	Colleen M. Melody, WSBA No. 42275
19	Vanessa Barsanti (admitted pro hac vice)	colleenm1@atg.wa.gov
20	Daniel I. Siegfried (admitted pro hac vice)	Chalia I. Stallings-Ala'ilima, WSBA No. 40694
21	Counsel for Plaintiffs	chalias@atg.wa.gov Assistant Attorneys General
22		Civil Rights Unit
		Attorney General's Office 800 5th Ave, Suite 2000
23		Seattle, WA 98104
24		(206) 464-7744
25		Counsel for Plaintiff-Intervenor State of
26		Washington
27		

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

28

3 4

5

6 7

8

1011

1213

1415

1617

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Parties' Joint Stipulation Concerning the Court's Orders on Plaintiffs Request for Production No. 44 and Washington's Request for Production No. 16. After considering the Parties' Joint Stipulation, the Court hereby ORDERS the following:

- By June 1, 2020, Defendants shall produce to Plaintiffs (1) all "profiles" of Army or Air Force service members related to a condition that reasonably might be associated with to gender dysphoria or transition treatment since June 30, 2016; and (2) all "Limited Duty" or "LIMDU" records of Navy service members related to a condition that reasonably might be associated with gender dysphoria or transition treatment since June 30, 2016. Defendants shall be permitted to redact personally identifiable information from these records. However, where multiple "profiles" or "Limited Duty" records relate to the same service member, Defendants will so indicate.
- However, Defendants having advised that the profiles may not directly indicate
 whether a condition is related to gender dysphoria or transition related medical
 care, Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-Intervenor have reserved their right to seek
 additional documents or information once the above documents have been
 produced and Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-Intervenor have had opportunity to review.
- Subject to the above reservation of rights, Defendants' have satisfied their obligations to respond to Plaintiffs' RFP No. 44 and, with the exception of Coast Guard records, Washington's RFP No. 16, and Defendants need not provide additional information or documents to satisfy the Court's orders (Dkt. 485, 486, and 507) with respect to those requests at this time. Defendants' motion for a 75-day extension of time to respond to the Court's order on Plaintiffs' RFP

Karnoski, et al. v. Trump, et al., No. 2:17-cv-1297 (MJP)

³ Defendants' obligations as to the Coast Guard and for all other requests, including Washington's Interrogatory No. 16, shall remain as previously ordered. *See* Dkt. 507. Parties are permitted to submit a future stipulation regarding the Coast Guard's records if an agreement is reached.

Case 2:17-cv-01297-MJP Document 517 Filed 05/20/20 Page 8 of 9

1	No. 44, Dkt. 488, shall be denied as moot.		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
3			
4	DATED this 20th day of May, 2020.		
5		- /	
6		Marshuf !!	Elm
7		Marsha J. Pechm	an
8		Senior United Sta	ates District Judge
9			
10	Presented By:		
11	NEWMAN DU WORS LLP	UNITED STATES	
12		DEPARTMENT O	OF JUSTICE
13	s/ Rachel Horvitz	s/ Matthew Skurnik	-
14	Derek A. Newman, WSBA No. 26967	JOSEPH H. HUNT	
15	dn@newmanlaw.com Jason B. Sykes, WSBA No. 44369	Assistant Attorney (Civil Division	General
16	jason@newmanlaw.com	ALEXANDER K. I	IAAS
17	Rachel Horvitz, WSBA No. 52987 rachel@newmanlaw.com	Branch Director	11 11 15
18	2101 Fourth Ave., Ste. 1500 Seattle, WA 98121	ANTHONY J. COP	POLINO
19	(206) 274-2800	Deputy Director	
20	LAMDBA LEGAL DEFENSE AND	ANDREW E. CAR 76578	MICHAEL, VA Bar #
21	EDUCATION FUND, INC. Tara Borelli, WSBA No. 36759	andrew.e.carmicha	v 0
	tborelli@lambdalegal.org	MATTHEW SKUI Matthew.Skurnik@	RNIK, NY Bar # 5553896
22	Camilla B. Taylor (admitted pro hac vice) Peter C. Renn (admitted pro hac vice)	JAMES R. POWE	RS, TX Bar #24092989
23	Sasha Buchert (admitted pro hac vice)	james.r.powers@u. Trial Attorney	sdoj.gov
24	Kara Ingelhart (admitted pro hac vice) Carl Charles (admitted pro hac vice)	United States Depar	rtment of Justice eral Programs Branch
25	Paul D. Castillo (admitted pro hac vice)	1100 L Street NW,	Suite 12108
26		Washington, DC 20 (202) 616-8188	0530
27	OUTSERVE-SLDN, INC. N/K/A MODERN MILITARY ASSOCIATION	, ,	J 4 -
28	JOINT STIPULATION CONCERNING THE COURT'S ORDERS C	Counsel for Defence On PLAINTIFFS'	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

JOINT STIPULATION CONCERNING THE COURT'S ORDERS ON PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44 AND WASHINGTON'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16 - 7 Karnoski, et al. v. Trump, et al., No. 2:17-cv-1297 (MJP)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 Tel: (202) 616-8188

1	OF AMERICA Peter Perkowski (admitted pro hac vice)	OFFICE OF THE WASHINGTON STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL
2		
3	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP James F. Hurst, P.C. (admitted pro hac vice)	s/ Chalia I. Stallings-Ala'ilima
4	Steve Patton (admitted pro hac vice) Jordan M. Heinz (admitted pro hac vice)	Colleen M. Melody, WSBA No. 42275 colleenm1@atg.wa.gov
5	Vanessa Barsanti (admitted pro hac vice) Daniel I. Siegfried (admitted pro hac vice)	Chalia I. Stallings-Ala'ilima, WSBA No. 40694
6	Counsel for Plaintiffs	chalias@atg.wa.gov Assistant Attorneys General
7	Counsel for Flaimiffs	Civil Rights Unit
8		Attorney General's Office 800 5th Ave, Suite 2000
9		Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-7744
11		Counsel for Plaintiff-Intervenor State of
12		Washington
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		