

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

PHILIP EMIABATA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON
TRUST COMPANY NA/JP MORGAN
CHASE BANK, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C17-1302-JLR

ORDER GRANTING AMENDED
APPLICATION TO PROCEED *IN*
FORMA PAUPERIS AND
RECOMMENDING REVIEW

Plaintiffs, proceeding *pro se*, have filed an amended application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”) in the above-entitled action. Dkt. 3. After careful consideration of the application, the governing law and the balance of the record, the Court ORDERS as follows:

(1) Because plaintiffs do not appear to have funds available to afford the \$400.00 filing fee, they financially qualify for IFP status pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). Therefore, plaintiffs’ IFP application, Dkt. 3, is GRANTED. However, the undersigned recommends review under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

//
//
//

