

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 DAVID E. MAYS, Derivatively on Behalf of
11 Nominal Defendant JUNO THERAPEUTICS,
12 INC.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 HANS E. BISHOP, *et al.*,

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-1356 RSM

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

17 This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte*. On September 8, 2017, Plaintiff David
18 E. Mays filed a Cover Sheet listing as a related case *In re Juno Therapeutics, Inc.*, Case No. 16-
19 cv-1069-RSM. Dkt. #1-1. The Court has examined the Complaint in this case and the related
20 case and believes there is cause to consolidate these matters under Case No. 16-cv-1069-RSM.

21 In response to this Order, Plaintiff and Defendants must each write a short and plain
22 statement telling the Court: (1) why this case should not be consolidated with Case No. 16-cv-
23 1069-RSM; (2) if this case should not be consolidated, how it can proceed parallel to Case No.
24 16-cv-1069-RSM; (3) why Plaintiff is not a member of the class in Case No. 16-cv-1069-RSM

1 and therefore subject to the restrictions discussed in Case No. 16-cv-1069-RSM, Dkt. #40.

2 **Each Response may not exceed six (6) double-spaced pages.** Defendants may submit one
3 collective Response. The Court will take no further action in this case until the parties have
4 submitted these Responses.

5 Accordingly, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS that the parties shall file Responses
6 to this Order to Show Cause containing the detail above **no later than fourteen (14) days**
7 **from the date of this Order.**

8
9 DATED this 20 day of November, 2017.

10
11 

12 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24