1 The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 Case No. 2:17-cv-01361-RSM EDGE, et al., 10 JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER Plaintiff, 11 REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE v. 12 CITY OF EVERETT, 13 Defendant. 14 15 Plaintiffs Jovanna Edge, Leah Humphrey, Liberty Ziska, Amelia Powell, Natalie Bjerke, 16 and Matteson Hernandez (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Defendant City of Everett (the "City") 17 18 (together, the "Parties") hereby stipulate and move the Court as follows: On January 11, 2018, the Parties jointly requested that this lawsuit be stayed, including all 19 proceedings, applicable discovery and other deadlines, and the trial date, until resolution of the 20 City's preliminary injunction appeal or upon earlier motion by either Party to re-commence the 21 lawsuit. (Dkt. No. 61.) 22 23 On January 16, 2018, the Court granted the Parties' request and stayed this lawsuit until resolution of the City's preliminary injunction appeal, and ordered the Parties submit a joint 24 status report to the Court following a final determination by the Ninth Circuit in the City's 25 26 preliminary injunction appeal. (Dkt. No. 64.) 27 On July 3, 2019, the Ninth Circuit issued its order granting the City's appeal and reversing 28 and remanding this lawsuit back to this Court. (Dkt. No. 65.) JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER 2101 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1500 REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE —1 Newman Du Wors LLP Seattle, Washington 98121 [Case No.: 2:17-CV-01361-RSM] (206) 274-2800

On March 12, 2020, the Ninth Circuit issued its final mandate. (No. 17-36038, Dkt. No. 73.)

Following remand, the Parties have engaged in good-faith negotiations regarding the most efficient way to move this case forward in light of the constraints presented by the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and the evidence gathered and presented by both sides in connection with the 2017 preliminary injunction motions practice.

Therefore, the Parties, through their respective counsel, of record, stipulate and agree as follows:

The Parties propose the following case schedule:

On or before October 20, 2020, Plaintiffs will produce their expert reports and all supporting materials relied on by the experts other than those documents previously produced, in the public record, in the control of the opposing party, or as easily accessible to the opposing party as to Plaintiffs and the experts. Plaintiffs shall make any experts they disclose available for deposition at mutually convenient times sufficiently in advance of the City's deadline for expert reports, with the understanding that these depositions will likely be conducted remotely unless public health conditions improve enough to allow otherwise.

On or before December 21, 2020, the City will produce its expert reports (including any rebuttal or reply to Plaintiffs' expert reports) and all supporting materials relied on by the experts other than those documents previously produced, in the public record, in the control of the opposing party, or as easily accessible to the opposing party as to Plaintiffs and the experts. To the extent the City designates any experts, it shall make them available for deposition at mutually convenient times sufficiently in advance of Plaintiffs deadline for rebuttal reports or filing of dispositive motions, with the understanding that these depositions will likely be conducted remotely unless public health conditions improve enough to allow otherwise.

On or before January 22, 2021, Plaintiffs will produce any expert reports they intend to rely on in rebuttal to any of the City's expert reports (aside from rebuttal reports, to which no further reply is permitted) and all supporting materials relied on by the experts other than those

documents previously produced, in the public record, in the control of the opposing party, or as easily accessible to the opposing party as to Plaintiffs and the experts.

Plaintiffs agree that they will, in filing and defending dispositive motions, rely solely on the factual record already presented to the Court during the preliminary injunction proceedings, with the exception of expert witness testimony and supporting materials (i.e., studies and already of record evidence upon which the experts may rely). The City, in turn, agrees to rely on the factual record already presented to the Court during the preliminary injunction proceedings, with the exception of additional factual testimony or records responsive to any new issues raised by Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' experts, or any expert designations of its own as contemplated above.

Upon that basis, the Parties agree that other than the discovery already completed, the expert discovery contemplated above, and any supplemental fact discovery reasonably responsive to the above expert designations and supporting materials, they will not seek further discovery of the other absent mutual assent or leave of the Court.

On or before February 18, 2021 the Parties will file their respective motions for summary judgment (if any), noted for hearing on March 12, 2021, with response and reply briefs to be due pursuant to the federal civil rules and local rules of this Court.

If any issues remain to be tried following summary judgment, the Parties will meet and confer with each other and propose a pre-trial and trial schedule to the Court at that time.

SO STIPULATED.

,

JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE —3 [Case No.: 2:17-CV-01361-RSM]

1	Respectfully submitted, October 20, 2020.	
2	Newman Du Wors LLP	PACIFICA LAW GROUP LLP
3		
4	s/ Jason B. Sykes	s/ Matthew J. Segal
5	Derek A. Newman, WSBA #26967	Matthew J. Segal, WSBA #29797
6	derek@newmanlaw.com Jason B. Sykes, WSBA #44369	Matthew.Segal@pacificalawgroup.com Jamie L. Lisagor, WSBA #39946
7	jason@newmanlaw.com Rachel J. Horvitz, WSBA #52987 rachel@newmanlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	jamie.lisagor@pacificalawgroup.com Sarah C. Johnson. WSBA #34529
8		sarah.johnson@pacificlawgroup.com Sarah S. Washburn, WSBA #44418
9		sarah.washburn@pacificlawgroup.com
10		CITY OF EVERETT
11		
12		s/ Ramsey Ramerman
13		Ramsey Ramerman, WSBA #30423 RRamerman@everettwa.gov
14		Attorneys for Defendant
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	IONE CENTRE AFTON AND ORDER	

JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE —4 [Case No.: 2:17-CV-01361-RSM]

1 ORDER

This matter having come before the Court on the above Joint Stipulation Regarding Case Schedule, the Court HEREBY ORDERS THAT:

On or before October 20, 2020, Plaintiffs will produce their expert reports and all supporting materials relied on by the experts other than those documents previously produced, in the public record, in the control of the opposing party, or as easily accessible to the opposing party as to Plaintiffs and the experts. Plaintiffs shall make any experts they disclose available for deposition at mutually convenient times sufficiently in advance of the City's deadline for expert reports, with the understanding that these depositions will likely be conducted remotely unless public health conditions improve enough to allow otherwise.

On or before December 21, 2020, the City will produce its expert reports (including any rebuttal or reply to Plaintiffs' expert reports) and all supporting materials relied on by the experts other than those documents previously produced, in the public record, in the control of the opposing party, or as easily accessible to the opposing party as to Plaintiffs and the experts. To the extent the City designates any experts, it shall make them available for deposition at mutually convenient times sufficiently in advance of Plaintiffs deadline for rebuttal reports or filing of dispositive motions, with the understanding that these depositions will likely be conducted remotely unless public health conditions improve enough to allow otherwise.

On or before January 22, 2021, Plaintiffs will produce any expert reports they intend to rely on in rebuttal or reply to the City's expert reports and all supporting materials relied on by the experts other than those documents previously produced, in the public record, in the control of the opposing party, or as easily accessible to the opposing party as to Plaintiffs and the experts.

Other than the discovery already completed, the expert discovery set forth above, and any supplemental fact discovery reasonably responsive to the above expert designations and supporting materials, the Parties are prohibited from taking any further discovery of the other absent mutual assent or leave of the Court.

The Court sets a dispositive motion noting date of March 12, 2021 consistent with this 1 stipulation and order. 2 3 If any issues remain to be tried following the Court's resolution of summary-judgment motions, the Parties will meet and confer with each other and propose a pre-trial and trial 4 schedule to the Court at that time. 5 6 7 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 20th day of October, 2020. 8 9 10 11 ARDO S. MARTINEZ 12 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 13 Presented by: 14 NEWMAN DU WORS LLP PACIFICA LAW GROUP LLP 15 16 s/ Jason B. Sykes s/ Matthew J. Segal 17 Derek A. Newman, WSBA #26967 Matthew J. Segal, WSBA #29797 derek@newmanlaw.com Matthew.Segal@pacificalawgroup.com 18 Jamie L. Lisagor, WSBA #39946 Jason B. Sykes, WSBA #44369 jason@newmanlaw.com jamie.lisagor@pacificalawgroup.com 19 Rachel J. Horvitz, WSBA #52987 Sarah C. Johnson. WSBA #34529 20 rachel@newmanlaw.com sarah.johnson@pacificlawgroup.com Sarah S. Washburn, WSBA #44418 21 sarah.washburn@pacificlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs 22 **CITY OF EVERETT** 23 24 s/Ramsey Ramerman Ramsey Ramerman, WSBA #30423 25 RRamerman@everettwa.gov 26 Attorneys for Defendant 27 28

JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE —6 [Case No.: 2:17-CV-01361-RSM]

2101 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1500 Seattle, Washington 98121 (206) 274-2800