

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

CHERYL ENSTAD et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

PEACEHEALTH,

Defendant.

No. C17-1496 RSM

**ORDER RE: JOINT MOTION TO  
EXTEND DEADLINES FOR INITIAL  
DISCLOSURES AND RULE 26(F)  
CONFERENCE AND JOINT STATUS  
REPORT**

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the Joint Motion of Plaintiffs Cheryl Enstad and Pax Enstad (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant PeaceHealth (“PeaceHealth”), through counsel, to extend the time for the parties to: (1) conduct the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“FRCP”) Rule 26(f) conference; (2) file the Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan as Required by FRCP and Local Rule 26(f); and (3) conduct initial disclosures pursuant to FRCP Rule 26(a)(1) as set forth in the October 11, 2017 Order Regarding Initial Disclosures, Joint Status Report, and Early Settlement (Dkt. # 7); the Court having considered the Joint Motion, and finding good cause therefore, it is therefore:

//

//

1           ORDERED that the deadlines set forth in the Order Regarding Initial Disclosures, Joint  
2 Status Report, and Early Settlement to (1) conduct the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“FRCP”)  
3 Rule 26(f) conference; (2) file the Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan as Required by FRCP  
4 and Local Rule 26(f); and (3) conduct initial disclosures pursuant to FRCP Rule 26(a)(1) are  
5 hereby vacated. The Court will issue a revised order setting those deadlines commencing thirty  
6 days after its ruling on PeaceHealth’s forthcoming motion to dismiss.

7           DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of November 2017.

8 

9 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
10 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11  
12  
13 Presented by:

14 /s/Craig S. Rutenberg  
15 Craig S. Rutenberg  
16 MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP  
17 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
18 PEACEHEALTH  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28