

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 MICHAEL E. JACKSON,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 ORVILLE B. MALLOTT, et al.,

12 Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-1521-RSL

ORDER RE: APPLICATION TO
PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

13 Plaintiff submitted a corrected application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP). (Dkt. 11.)¹
14 Because plaintiff does not appear to have funds available to afford the \$400.00 filing fee, he
15 financially qualifies for IFP status pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). Therefore, plaintiff's
16 application to proceed IFP (Dkt. 11) is GRANTED. However, the undersigned recommends
17 review under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). The Clerk of the Court is directed to send a copy of this
18 Order to plaintiff and to the assigned District Judge.

19 DATED this 8th day of November, 2017.

21 

22 Mary Alice Theiler
23 United States Magistrate Judge

¹ Plaintiff submitted another IFP application, on the wrong form. (Dkt. 10.) That application should be terminated and the noting date STRICKEN.